Silylation of Alcohols and Phenols with Hexamethyldisilazane over Highly Reusable Propyl Sulfonic Acid Functionalized Nanostructured SBA-15

Daryoush ZAREYEE*, Rezvaneh ASGHARI, Mohammad A. KHALILZADEH

Department of Chemistry, Qaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran

Abstract: Various alcohols and phenols were trimethylsilylated in excellent yields using hexamethyldisilazane in the presence of catalytic amounts of environmentally friendly, hydrophobic, highly thermal stable, and completely heterogeneous sulfonic acid functionalized mesostructured SBA-15 in dichloromethane at ambient temperature. Primary, bulky secondary, tertiary, and phenolic hydroxyl functional groups were transformed to the corresponding trimethylsilyl ethers in excellent yields. The simple experimental procedure was accompanied by easy recovery and the catalyst was reusable (at least 18 reaction cycles); these are attractive features of this protocol.

Key words: reusable heterogeneous catalyst; solid sulfonic acid; SBA-15; protecting group; silylation; hexamethyldisilazane

CLC number: O643

Document code: A

Received 6 July 2011. Accepted 3 November 2011.

*Corresponding author. Tel: +98-123-214-5048; Fax: +98-123-214-5050; E-mail: zareyee@gmail.com, zareyee.d@qaemshahriau.ac.ir
English edition available online at Elsevier ScienceDirect (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/18722067>).

The protection of the hydroxyl function in alcohols and phenols is a common and versatile part of many syntheses of reasonable complexity. Among the routinely employed methodologies for the masking of hydroxyl groups in organic chemistry, the transformation of alcohols to the corresponding silyl ethers is a popular procedure [1,2]. The popularity and extensive use of silyl ethers as protecting groups for alcohols come from their ease of formation, resistance to oxidation, good stability toward most non-acidic reagents, and easy deprotection to provide free alcohols [3]. Although many reagents are available for the silylation of hydroxyl groups [4–13], some of these methods suffered from serious drawbacks such as the lack of reactivity, low product yields, long reaction times, expensive reagents and catalysts, and robust purification steps or difficulty in by-product removal. In contrast, 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) is a cheap, easy handling, commercially available and stable reagent, and it is frequently used for the trimethylsilylation of hydroxyl groups giving ammonia as the only by-product. However, the main drawback of HMDS is its poor silylating power as it needs forceful conditions and long reaction times in many instances [14]. Therefore, a variety of catalysts have been developed for the activation of this reagent such as sulfuric acid [2], $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{SiCl}$ [15], sulfonic acids [16], K-10 montmorillonite [17,18], iodine [19], tungstophosphoric acid ($\text{H}_3\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$) [20], LiClO_4 [21], TBBDA and PBBS [22], $[\text{PdCl}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)]_2\text{-PPh}_3$ [23], HReO_4 [24], LaCl_3 [25], tri-

bromomelamine [26], $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$ [27], tribromoisocyanuric acid and DABCO-Br [28]. Although the silylation ability of HMDS has been improved by these methods some problems such as the use of a corrosive catalyst, drastic reaction conditions, tedious workup, and long reaction times still need to be overcome. Moreover, many of these reagents are expensive and non-recoverable leading to the generation of a large amount of toxic waste particularly when large-scale applications are considered. In other words, the tightening of legislation with regard to the treatment and disposal of toxic waste produced during the difficult separation of the desired products is forcing industry to consider greener technologies. Therefore, solid acids catalysis is a growing field of research as the demand for clean and eco-friendly chemical processes is increasing [29–34]. Accordingly, chemical industry is currently searching for highly active, stable, and recyclable solid acids to improve the environmental safety of the production of chemicals under milder reaction conditions. To address these issues, Zareyee and Karimi [35] demonstrated the application of recoverable sulfonic acid functionalized ordered mesoporous silica (MCM-41-Pr-SO₃H) for the efficient silylation of alcohols and phenols. Quite recently, they used a heterogeneous and hydrophobic sulfonic acid nanoreactor with acidic sites and phenyl groups within the mesochannel of SBA-15 as an efficient, environmentally benign, and highly recoverable catalyst for the Pechmann [36] and Strecker [37] reactions. In the course of our ongoing green organic chemistry program and

current interest in the development of nanostructured solid acids for different organic transformations [35–39], we report on a brief preparation and application of a sulfonic acid nanoreactor (SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H) for the trimethylsilylation of hydroxyl groups.

1 Experimental

1.1 Preparation of SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H

The synthesis of SBA-15-Pr-SH was achieved using the known procedure described by Stucky et al. [40]. This procedure involved a synthetic strategy based on the co-condensation of tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) and 3-mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (MPTMS) in the presence of Pluronic P123 as the structure directing agent. In a typical preparation procedure, 4 g of Pluronic P123 (Aldrich, average $M_w = 5800$) was dissolved in 125 g of 1.9 mol/L HCl solution with stirring at room temperature. The solution was heated to 40 °C before adding 6.83 g TEOS. After a 3 h pre-hydrolysis of TEOS, 1.6 g thiol precursor MPTMS was added. The resultant solution was stirred for 20 h at 40 °C after which the mixture was aged at 100 °C for 24 h under static conditions. The solid was recovered by filtration and air dried at room temperature overnight. The template was removed from the as-synthesized material by washing with ethanol using a Soxhlet apparatus for 24 h.

To a suspension of SBA-15-Pr-SH (3 g) in dry toluene PhSi(OEt)₃ (PTES, 4 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was first stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then refluxed for a further 24 h. The solid material was filtered and successively washed with toluene, EtOH, and Et₂O and dried overnight at 120 °C to afford the corresponding SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SH.

Conversion of the catalyst's thiol groups to sulfonic acid moieties was accomplished by hydrogen peroxide. Typically, 0.3 g of solid hydrophobic material was suspended in 10 g of aqueous 30 wt% H₂O₂. This suspension was stirred at room temperature in an Ar atmosphere for 24 h. After the oxidation treatment, the resulting solution was filtered and washed separately with water and ethanol. Finally the wet material was suspended in 1 mol/L H₂SO₄ solution for 2 h and it was then washed several times with water and ethanol and dried at 60 °C under vacuum overnight to give the corresponding SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H, denoted as catalyst **1** (Fig. 1).

The concentration of sulfonic acid groups in catalyst **1** was quantitatively estimated by ion-exchange pH analysis. To an aqueous solution of NaCl (1 mol/L, 25 ml) with a primary pH of 5.1 the catalyst **1** (100 mg) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 6 h after which the pH of the solution decreased to 2.19.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the preparation of the sulfonic acid based catalyst **1**.

1.2 General procedure for the trimethylsilylation of alcohols

To a solution of alcohol (1 mmol) and HMDS (0.6 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 ml) catalyst **1** (19 mg, 3 mol%) was added. The mixture was stirred at 35 °C for a period of time (Fig. 2). Reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and gas chromatography (GC). After the completion of the reaction the product was isolated by filtration. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the corresponding silyl ether.

Fig. 2. Silylation reaction using catalyst **1**.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Acid amount of SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H

The amount of acid in SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H was determined to be 1.61 mmol/g. CHN and thermal gravimetry (TG) analysis (Fig. 3) of the catalyst confirms an acid content of 1.61 mmol/g.

Fig. 3. TG diagram for SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H.

2.2 Trimethylsilylation of alcohols and phenols

As a preliminary study, the catalytic evaluation of SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H towards the synthesis of silyl ether from benzyl alcohol was undertaken.

In an initial attempt, a blank reaction was carried out using 1 equiv. each of benzyl alcohol and HMDS in the presence of 1 mol% (6.3 mg) of SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H. These were stirred at room temperature in CH₂Cl₂ and the corresponding benzyloxytrimethyl silane was obtained in 50% GC yield after stirring the reaction mixture for 180 min. Increasing the reaction temperature to 35 °C improved the yield of the related product to 80% under the same reaction conditions. Subsequently, to establish the best reaction conditions the same reaction between benzyl alcohol and HMDS in CH₂Cl₂ at 35 °C was used as a model reaction to screen the effect of catalytic amounts of SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H. The results showed that no appreciable reaction occurred when benzyl alcohol and HMDS were allowed to react in dichloromethane in the absence of a catalyst and the reaction yield was only 20% of expected product after 2 h without a catalyst. This indicates that the catalyst is required for this reaction. Interestingly, 3 mol% of catalyst **1** and 0.6 mmol of HMDS was sufficient to drive the silylation of benzyl alcohol completely over a short reaction time (100% GC yield after 20 min.). Based on these findings and to ascertain the scope and generality of this protocol we screened a range of alcohols and phenols under optimized reaction conditions. As shown in Table 1, a wide range of alcohols underwent silylation by this procedure to provide trimethyl-

Table 1 Trimethylsilylation of alcohols and phenols using SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H in dichloromethane at 35 °C

Entry	Substrate	Product	Time (min)	Yield* (%)
1			20	100
2			30	97
3			40	96
4			50	99
5			50	93
6			150	80
7			90	99
8			120	91
9			120	89
10			150	90
11			150	96
12			180	95
13			180	89
14			120	90
15			135	91
16			135	93
17			110	95
18			120	94
19			160	92
20			300	70
21			150	80
22			200	90
23	(Ph) ₃ COH	(Ph) ₃ COTMS	1440	40

*GC yield.

silyl ether in excellent yields. Benzylic, primary, hindered and unhindered secondary, and unhindered tertiary alcohols were silylated in dichloromethane at 35 °C and the reactions were completed over periods ranging from 20 to 1440 min to provide good to excellent yields of the silylated products (Table 1). In the case of primary benzylic and aliphatic alcohols the reactions were complete within 150 min in CH₂Cl₂ at 35 °C accompanied by a fast evolution of NH₃ gas from the reaction mixture (Table 1, entries 1–11). Various benzyl alcohols with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents underwent smooth silylation with excellent yields (Table 1, entries 1–6). The catalytic system is applicable to heterocyclic alcohols such as furfuryl alcohol (Table 1, entry 9). The reaction of primary aliphatic alcohols proceeded in short reaction time with excellent yields (Table 1, entries 10 and 11). From Table 1, this method is also shown to be efficient for the silylation of secondary aliphatic (Table 1, entries 12–16) and aromatic (Table 1, entry 17) alcohols. Phenol and its derivatives were also silylated in an efficient manner in excellent yields (Table 1, entries 18–21). These encouraging results prompted us to test the trimethylsilylation of hindered tertiary alcohols. Even though 1-adamantanol was converted to the corresponding silylated product in good yield (Table 1, entry 22), for the less reactive triphenylmethanol longer reaction time was necessary to afford the desired product. However, triphenylmethanol gave the related trimethylsilyl ether in 40% yield after 24 h (Table 1, entry 23). For the hindered secondary and tertiary alcohols no elimination of by-products were observed.

After achieving this favorable result, we compared the results of the silylation of alcohols with our previously reported catalyst (MCM-41-Pr-SO₃H) to determine the effectiveness of this catalyst. Our evaluation showed that the higher conversion of starting materials for catalyst **1** in comparison to MCM-41-Pr-SO₃H was likely due to the larger pore size of SBA-15 and the beneficial influence of phenyl groups in close proximity to the sulfonic acid groups. Based on these observations it is clear that the pore size and the hydrophobic character of catalyst **1** are crucial

for the effectiveness of this sulfonic acid based catalyst for the catalysis of the silylation reaction.

Recycling of the catalyst was explored upon the silylation of benzyl alcohol with HMDS. After the completion of the first run, which affords the corresponding benzyloxy-trimethyl silane in 100% yield, the products and the solvent were removed from the reaction mixture using a syringe, and fresh reactants and solvent were added to the catalyst to run the second silylation reaction. Gratifyingly, SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H was successfully reused in eighteen successive runs and exhibited consistent activity to afford an average yield of 99.5% with virtually no significant loss of performance, which clearly demonstrates the practical recyclability of this catalyst. This reusability demonstrates the high stability and turnover of the catalyst under the conditions employed.

To compare the catalytic potential of catalyst **1** with some recently reported catalysts, we studied the silylation of benzyl alcohol using HMDS in the presence of various catalysts (Table 2). The results show that some protocols took longer, some used dangerous materials with reduced isolated yields and some used non-recoverable catalysts, which clearly shows that SBA-15-Ph-PrSO₃H should be considered one of the best choices.

3 Conclusions

SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H was a highly efficient and recoverable catalyst for the silylation of alcohols and phenols by HMDS in dichloromethane at 35 °C. The most striking features of this method are excellent catalytic capacity, outstanding stability, exceedingly simple workup, and multiple reuse of SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H. As a consequence, this system represents a substantial improvement over previous methods wherein product separation produces a large amount of waste. In addition, by this method, primary, bulky secondary, tertiary, and phenolic hydroxyl functional groups are protected with excellent yields. Further studies will focus on the exploration of this solid sulfonic acid for other types of functional group transformations.

Table 2 Comparison of the catalytic efficiency of SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO₃H with other reported catalysts for the trimethylsilylation of benzyl alcohol using HMDS

Entry	Catalyst (amount)	Solvent	Temperature (°C)	Time (min)	Yield (%)	Ref.
1	SBA-15-Ph-Pr-SO ₃ H (3 mol%)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	35	20	100	this work
2	H ₃ PW ₁₂ O ₄₀ (1 mol%)	solvent free	55–60	23	100	[18] ^a
3	LiClO ₄ (50 mol%)	solvent free	rt	25	99	[19] ^a
4	LaCl ₃ (10 mol%)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	180	91	[23] ^{a,b}
5	HY Zeolite (0.1 g)	solvent free	55–60	120	80	[18] ^{a,b}
6	Bi(OTf) ₃ (5 mol%)	solvent free	rt	4	99	[25] ^{a,b,c}
7	DABCO-Br (0.1 mol%)	CH ₃ CN	rt	10	100	[28] ^{a,d}

^aNo recovery. ^bLong reaction time. ^c1 mmol HMDS was used. ^d0.8 mmol HMDS was used.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Islamic Azad University of Qaemshahr Research Councils for supporting this work.

References

- 1 Greene T W, Wuts P G M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis. 2nd Ed. New York: Wiley, 1991
- 2 Kocienski P J. In: Enders R, Noyori R, Trost B M eds. Protective Groups. Thieme: Stuttgart, 1994
- 3 Langer S H, Connell S, Wender I. *J Org Chem*, 1958, **23**: 50
- 4 Morita T, Okamoto Y, Sakurai H. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 1980, **21**: 835
- 5 Veysoglu T, Mitscher L A. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 1981, **22**: 1299
- 6 Hatano B, Toyota S, Toda F. *Green Chem*, 2001, **3**: 140
- 7 Bifiss A, Zecca M, Basato M. *Green Chem*, 2003, **5**: 170
- 8 Watahiki T, Matsuzaki M, Oriyama T. *Green Chem*, 2003, **5**: 82
- 9 Bideau F L, Henique J, Samuel E, Coradin T. *Chem Commun*, 2001: 1408
- 10 Huang X, Craita C, Awad L, Vogel P. *Chem Commun*, 2005: 1297
- 11 Ito H, Takagi K, Miyahara T, Sawamura M. *Org Lett*, 2005, **7**: 3001
- 12 Iida A, Horii A, Misaki T, Tanabe Y. *Synthesis*, 2005: 2677
- 13 Shirini F, Zolfigol M A, Paktinat M. *Synthesis*, 2006: 4252
- 14 Bruynes C A, Jurriens T K. *J Org Chem*, 1982, **47**: 3966
- 15 Gauttret P, El-Ghamarti S, Legrand A, Coutrier D, Rigo B. *Synth Commun*, 1996, **26**: 707
- 16 Goldschmidt A G T. DE 2 758 884. 1978
- 17 Zhang Z H, Li T S, Yang F, Fu C G. *Synth Commun*, 1998, **28**: 3105
- 18 Mojtahedi M M, Saidi M R, Bolourchian M, Heravi M M. *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat Elem*, 2002, **177**: 289
- 19 Karimi B, Golshani B. *J Org Chem*, 2000, **65**: 7228
- 20 Firouzabadi H, Iranpoor N, Amani K, Nowrouzi F. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans I*, 2002: 2601
- 21 Azizi N, Saidi M R. *Organometallics*, 2004, **23**: 1457
- 22 Ghorbani-Vaghei R, Zolfigol M A, Chegeny M, Veisi H. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2006, **47**: 4505
- 23 Shuirakawa E, Hironaka K, Otsuka H, Hayashi T. *Chem Commun*, 2006: 3927
- 24 Reis P M, Royo B. *Catal Commun*, 2007, **8**: 1057
- 25 Narsaiah A V. *J Organomet Chem*, 2007, **692**: 3614
- 26 Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Zolfigol M A, Hajjami M, Jafari S. *J Chin Chem Soc*, 2008, **55**: 1208
- 27 Kadam S T, Kim S S. *J Organomet Chem*, 2009, **694**: 2562
- 28 Niknam K, Zolfigol M A, Chehardoli G, Dehghanian M. *Chin J Catal*, 2008, **29**: 901
- 29 Olah G A, Iyer P S, Prakash G K S. *Synthesis*, 1986: 513
- 30 Corma A. *Chem Rev*, 1995, **95**: 559
- 31 Herron N, Farneth W E. *Adv Mater*, 1996, **8**: 959
- 32 Horton B. *Nature*, 1999, **400**: 797
- 33 Anastas P T, Kirchhooff M M. *Acc Chem Res*, 2002, **35**: 686
- 34 Okuhara T. *Chem Rev*, 2002, **102**: 3641
- 35 Zareyee D, Karimi B. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2007, **48**: 1277
- 36 Karimi B, Zareyee D. *Org Lett*, 2008, **10**: 3989
- 37 Karimi B, Zareyee D. *J Mater Chem*, 2009, **19**: 8665
- 38 Karimi B, Zareyee D. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2005, **46**: 4661
- 39 Zareyee D, Ghandali M S, Khalilzadeh M A. *Catal Lett*, 2011, **141**: 1521
- 40 Margolese D, Melero J A, Christiansen S C, Chmelka B F, Stucky G D. *Chem Mater*, 2000, **12**: 2448