available at www.sciencedirect.com

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chnjc

Article

Synthesis, characterization and catalytic reactivity of pentacoordinate iron dicarbonyl as a model of the [Fe]-hydrogenase active site

Tianyong Zhang ^{a,b}, Liao Sheng ^a, Qiusheng Yang ^c, Shuang Jiang ^a, Yanhong Wang ^a, Chaohui Jin ^a, Bin Li ^{a,*}

^a Tianjin Key Laboratory of Applied Catalysis Science and Technology, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

^b Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Tianjin 300072, China

^c School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin 300130, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 April 2015

Accepted 23 May 2015

Published 20 November 2015

Keywords:

Mono iron hydrogenase

Model complex

Catalytic transfer hydrogenation

Functional analogue

Benzoquinone

ABSTRACT

Two mono iron complexes $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PR}_3(\text{NN})$ ($\text{R} = \text{Cy}$ (**3**), Ph (**4**), $\text{NN} = o$ -phenylenediamine dianion ligand, $\text{N}_2\text{H}_2\text{Ph}^{2-}$) derived from the ligand substitution of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3\text{I}_2\text{PR}_3$ by the NN ligand were isolated and structurally characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. They have a similar first coordination sphere and oxidation state of the iron center as the [Fe]-hydrogenase active site, and can be a model of it. IR demonstrated that the effect of the NN ligand on the coordinated CO stretching frequencies was due to its excellent electron donating ability. The reversible protonation/deprotonation of the NN ligand was identified by infrared spectroscopy and density functional theory computation. The NN ligand is an effective proton acceptor as the internal base of the cysteine thiolate ligand in [Fe]-hydrogenase. The electrochemical properties of complexes **3**, **4** were investigated by cyclic voltammograms. Complex **3** catalyzed the transfer hydrogenation of benzoquinone to hydroquinone effectively under mild conditions.

© 2015, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

[Fe]-Hydrogenase (Hmd) participates in the methanogenic process of the reduction of CO_2 to methane. In the presence of the substrate $\text{N}^5, \text{N}^{10}$ -methenyl-tetrahydro-methanopterin (methenyl- H_4MPT^+ , or MPT^+), the enzyme catalyzes the heterolytic cleavage of H_2 (Scheme 1) [1,2].

Methenyl- H_4MPT^+ accepts H^+ ions and forms $\text{N}^5, \text{N}^{10}$ -methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin (methylene- H_4MPT , or HMPT) [2]. The other proton from H_2 exchanges rapidly with the protons of the bulk water, while the immediate proton acceptor is

unknown. In the active site of Hmd [3–8], the Fe ion has the ligands of one cysteine sulfur atom, two cis-CO ligands, and one bi-dentate donor atom of guanylylpyridinol cofactor through its nitrogen and acyl-carbon atoms (Fig. 1.) [6,9–11]. The coordination site *trans* to the acyl ligand was proposed to be the H_2 -binding site. It is unclear whether this site is vacant or occupied by a solvent molecule, most likely a water molecule, in the resting state.

A mechanism based on the resting state model of wild-type Hmd [6,9] was proposed from DFT (density functional theory) calculations. The MPT^+ substrate triggers the H^+ release to re-

* Corresponding author. Tel/Fax: +86-22-27406610; E-mail: libin@tju.edu.cn

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21103121, 21276187), Tianjin Municipal Natural Science Foundation (13JCQNJC05800) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20121317110009).

DOI: 10.1016/S1872-2067(15)60920-2 | http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/18722067 | Chin. J. Catal., Vol. 36, No. 11, November 2015

Scheme 1. Reversible hydrogen transfer reduction catalyzed by Hmd.

Fig. 1. The proposed active site of Hmd.

generate the resting state with the $\text{Fe-H}^{\delta+}\cdots\text{H}^{\delta-}\text{-O}$ dihydrogen bond after the proton transfers from the Cys176-sulfur or 2-pyridinol's oxygen [12]. The cysteine thiolate ligand in the open site which initially helps bind H_2 and then works as the internal base in the theory is the possible proton acceptor in the reaction. Some models have been developed to prove that the cysteine thiolate ligand has the reversible protonation property, but it is still a challenge to activate the dihydrogen [4–8]. This motivated us to develop more viable alternative ligands to focus on the function of hydrogenation.

Catalytic hydrogenation via transition metal complexes has demonstrated in 1995 that the interaction of intermolecular and intramolecular $\text{M-H}\cdots\text{H-N}$ bonds were strong. Intermolecular H-bonds have been used to design the structure and catalytic activity of a transition metal complex in asymmetric hydrogenation reactions [13]. In the use of $[\text{FeFe}]$ -hydrogenase [3], it is generally accepted that the coordination and subsequent heterolytic cleavage of dihydrogen occurred at an iron center [14]. The reaction is likely facilitated by an amine of the proposed azadithiolate cofactor. In addition, a Noyori-type hydrogenation system based on the diamine- RuCl_2 complex functions by “metal-ligand bifunctional catalysis” for the hydride transfer to an outer sphere molecule [15], which is similar to the trigger mechanism of Hmd. Noyori [15] found that an unsubstituted diamine was critical and the catalyst would be ineffective when diamines without NH groups were used. The so-called “NH-effect” has been widely investigated and was proposed to stabilize an incoming ketone substrate [16]. A similar structure and catalytic mechanism have been found in a transition metal complex like $\text{tris}(o\text{-phenylenediamine})$ iron(II). Transition metal ions coordinated by a nitrogen ligand have a unique property in hydrogen transformation. Aromatic amines and hydroxides, such as phenylenediamine or hydroquinone derivatives, are widely known to possess $2\text{H}^+/2\text{e}^-$ -pulling capability, which resembles the function of the internal base in the $[\text{Fe}]$ -hydrogenase enzyme [17]. This inspired us to utilize an organic skeleton coordinated with a nitrogen or binitrogen ligand to mimic the hydrogenation to obtain a func-

tional model of Hmd. In this paper, two new functional mono-iron hydrogenase active site models coordinated by a NN ligand were synthesised and characterized. The introduced NN ligand served as an internal base, which can undergo reversible protonation/deprotonation with the acid (HBF_4 or AcOH /ethanediamine). The developed models also catalyzed the hydrogenation of quinone under mild conditions.

2. Experimental

2.1. General procedures

All synthetic operations and measurements were conducted under a N_2 atmosphere using Schlenk line techniques because the precursors of these iron-series complexes are sensitive to light and air. Hence, the solvents, including *n*-hexane and tetrahydrofuran (THF), were distilled with Na metal to remove trace water, and were preserved with 4A sieves before use. Complexes $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_4$, $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_3\text{PCy}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_3\text{PPh}_3$ were prepared according to literature procedures. The following materials were reagent grade and used as purchased from Sigma-Aldrich: potassium *tert*-butoxide, *o*-phenylenediamine and *n*- Bu_4NPF_6 . The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ was obtained as a gift from Jiangsu Tianyi Ultra-fine Metal Powder Co., Ltd (China).

The NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AVANCE III 400MHz NMR spectrometer. ^1H NMR shifts were referenced to residual solvent resonances according to literature values. The solution IR spectra was recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR-8400 spectrometer using 0.1 mm KBr sealed cells. Quinone and hydroquinone samples were analyzed by reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (C18, $\phi 150 \times 4.6\text{mm}$) using an external standard method on an Agilent 1100 spectrometer. The mobile phase was $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (30/70, V/V) and the flow rate was 1.0 mL/min. The measurement was performed at the wavelength of 298 nm.

2.2. Synthesis of complex 3 and 4

Scheme 2 shows the synthetic route for the preparation of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PR}_3(\text{NN})$. The precursors were obtained via the CO ligand substitution reaction of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_4$ and PR_3 (1:1, $\text{R} = \text{PCy}_3$, PPh_3) following the procedure reported by Li et al [18]. First, a solution of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_3\text{PCy}_3$ (500 mg, 0.742 mmol) dissolved in THF (100 mL) was prepared. Then, *o*-phenylenediamine (240 mg, 2.22 mmol) and *t*-BuOK (500 mg, 4.44 mmol) were mixed under stirring for 10 min in a flask, to which THF (50 mL) was added later. A bluish violet well-proportioned and stable solution was generated. The solution was transformed into a third Schlenk flask at the same ratio and intervals during 1 h and then keep stirred for 1.5 h. Afterwards 0.1 mL water was added into the system to react with the excess *o*-phenylenediamine dianion and then the solvent was removed in vacuo. After extraction with *n*-hexane and filtration through celite, the filtrate was dried in vacuo to yield the crude product including the target **3** (250 mg 30%) and byproduct $\text{trans-}[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PCy}_3)_2]$. The crude product can be used for single crystal growth by slow evaporation of the *n*-hexane solution of **3**. The purified

crystals were used for the characterization including NMR and elemental analysis. Complex **4** used a similar preparation process. However, it was more difficult to get the pure product of **4** for further characterization due to its poor stability.

Complex **3**: ^1H NMR (400MHz, CD_2Cl_2): δ = 9.04 (s, 1H, PhN_2H_2), 7.69(s, 1H, PhN_2H_2), 7.20(s, 2H, PhN_2H_2), 6.87(br, 2H, PhN_2H_2), 1.84(br, 12H, PCy_3), 1.74(s, 6H, PCy_3), 1.43(s, 3H, PCy_3), 1.25(br, 12H, PCy_3). ^{13}C NMR(100MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 221.11, 220.97(s, 2C, CO), 29.28(s, 1H, PhCR_3). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{39}\text{FeN}_2\text{O}_2\text{P}$: C 62.60, H 7.88, N 5.63; found C 62.45, H 7.95, N 5.52.

2.3. Synthesis of complex **3-H⁺**

Complex **3-H⁺** was prepared by the protonation of complex **3** (100 mg, 0.2 mmol) with HBF_4 (0.2 mmol) in acetone under stirring condition. After 10 min, a light yellow precipitate was formed. The precipitate was collected by filtration, and dried under vacuum to afford the crude product of **3-H⁺** (80 mg, 0.16 mmol). Further characterization failed due to the decomposition of the crude product of **3-H⁺** during the purification.

2.4. Single crystal X-ray diffraction

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected with a Rigaku MM-007 diffractometer equipped with a Saturn 724CCD. Data were collected at (173 K) using a confocal monochromator with $\text{Mo-K}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$). Data collection, reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CRYSTALCLEAR program. The structure was solved by direct methods using the SHELXS-97 program and refined by full matrix least squares techniques (SHELXL-97) on F^2 . Hydrogen atoms were located by geometry calculations. CCDC 1050778 and 1050779 for complexes **3** and **4** contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper which can be obtained from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

2.5. Cyclic voltammetry

Cyclic voltammograms were obtained in a three-electrode cell under N_2 using a CHI 660B electrochemical workstation. The working electrode was a glassy carbon disc (diameter 3 mm) polished with 3 μm and 1 μm diamond paste and sonicated in ion-free water for 20 min prior to use. The reference electrode was a non-aqueous Ag/Ag^+ (0.01mol/L of AgNO_3 in CH_3CN) electrode and the counter electrode was platinum wire. A solution of $n\text{-Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6$ (0.1mol/L) in CH_3CN was used as the supporting electrolyte, which was degassed by bubbling dry N_2 for 10 min before measurement. Ferrocene was used as an external standard under the same measuring conditions and all the potentials were referenced to the $\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}^{+/0}$ couple at 0V.

2.6. Catalytic hydrogenation

In a typical experiment, 50 mg (0.46 mmol) quinone and 3 mg (0.0056 mmol) complex **3** were dissolved in 12.5 mL of

ethanol under stirring in a atmosphere of N_2 (0.1 MPa). Samples were taken at 30 min intervals for HPLC analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis of the model complexes

With the aim to develop a dinitrogen bidentate mono iron model complex of Hmd, the NN ligand, which was obtained from the deprotonation of *o*-phenylenediamine by *t*-BuOK (potassium *tert*-butoxide), was used to substitute the iodine anion of complex **1** (Scheme 2) [18]. The preparation of the NN ligand was conducted in methanol in early attempts as *t*-BuOK is insoluble in most organic solvents. Combining **1** with *o*-phenylenediamine in methanol or a methanol/THF mixed solvent was unsuccessful due to the instability of complex **1** in the polar protic solvent. Further experiments revealed that the NN ligand can be obtained by mixing *o*-phenylenediamine and *t*-BuOK powder without a solvent under vacuum. Hence, we improved the experiment methods by dissolving **1** and the NN ligand separately in THF, then gradually mixing them, which worked well on the synthetic scale of complex **1** of ca. 500 mg. FT-IR monitoring showed that **3** (1965 cm^{-1} , 1909 cm^{-1}) and byproduct $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PCy}_3)_2$ [19] (1854 cm^{-1}) were generated in the reaction system with the yields of 25%(**3**) and 62%(**5**), respectively.

Complex **3** is soluble in most organic solvents, i.e., *n*-hexane, CH_2Cl_2 , methanol, acetone etc., slightly dissolved in CH_3CN and is poorly soluble in water. As a non-polar molecule, the byproduct is insoluble in polar solvent such as methanol, acetone, and CH_3CN . Therefore, a purple-black powder of complex **3** can be separated completely from byproduct **5** via dissolution in a polar solvent, and then removing the insoluble complex **3**. It was more difficult to get the pure product of **4** than complex **3** by a similar way due to the poor stability of complex **4** in the synthesis and purification process [20].

Solid complexes **3** and **4** are soluble in solvents like *n*-hexane, CH_2Cl_2 , and CH_3CN . Complex **3** is relatively stable in these solutions even in air, light or at 70 $^\circ\text{C}$. However, complex **4** was not as stable as complex **3**. According to IR monitored results, complex **4** can be handled in the nonpolar solvent of hexane solution for a few hours, but it would partly decompose within a week at 4 $^\circ\text{C}$. Complex **4** decomposed faster in the polar solvents of CH_2Cl_2 , CH_3CN than in the nonpolar solvent of hexane, due to the easy dissociation of the labile CO ligands. However, in the solid state, both complexes **3** and **4** were stable and can be kept in the refrigerator for 3 months or even longer at 4 $^\circ\text{C}$.

Scheme 2. Synthetic route of complexes **3** and **4**.

3.2. FT-IR spectroscopy

The IR spectrum of **3** showed two intense $\nu(\text{CO})$ absorption at 1974 and 1919 cm^{-1} in hexane solution, which were lower than those of **4** (1985 cm^{-1} , 1929 cm^{-1}) due to the different phosphine ligands introduced. Fig. 2 displays the $\nu(\text{CO})$ IR spectra for the two bands which had nearly the same intensity, indicating that *cis*-dicarbonyls were at 90° angles [4]. The $\nu(\text{CO})$ band positions of **3** was shifted to a lower frequency by 10 cm^{-1} as the electron-donating ability of PCy_3 is better than PPh_3 . Actually, complex **3** was more stable than complex **4** which was evidenced indirectly by the characteristics of the IR spectra. Since PCy_3 is more bulky than PPh_3 , the effect of the electron-donating property on complex stability should overcome the steric effects here. Compared with the previous derivatives (Table 1), the NN ligand serves as a strong electron donor which keeps the complex stable and makes the catalytic hydrogenation possible.

3.3. X-ray crystallography

The crude product (100 mg) was dissolved in *n*-hexane first. The solution was stored in a sealed beaker after filtration. Finally rectangle crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained through gradual solvent volatilization.

The pentacoordinate complex **3** (Scheme 2) was characterized by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 3). The structure of **3** is similar to that of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NS})$ [19]. The C1-Fe1-N1 angle is 171.55° and the C2-Fe1-N2 angle is 134.13°. Thus, the coordination geometry of **3** can be best described as a distorted trigonal pyramid. It is closer to a distorted square pyramid for complex **4** with the C1-Fe1-N2 angle of 163.14° and C2-Fe1-N1

Fig. 2. IR spectra ($\nu(\text{CO})$ region) of complexes **3** and **4** in hexane.

Table 1

Selected IR spectroscopy data.

Complex	Solvent	$\nu(\text{CO})/\text{cm}^{-1}$
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NN})$	Hexane	1974(s), 1919(s)
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PPh}_3(\text{NN})$	Hexane	1985(s), 1929(s)
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NS})$ [Ref. 7]	CH_2Cl_2	1985(s), 1927(s)
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PPh}_3(\text{NS})$ [Ref. 7]	CH_2Cl_2	2002(s), 1942(s)
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3(\text{NS})$ [Ref. 7]	CH_2Cl_2	2012(s), 1956(s)
$[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2(\text{CN})(\text{NS})]$ [Ref. 10]	CH_2Cl_2	2000(s), 1936(s)
Hmd [Ref. 11]	THF	2011(s), 1944(s)

Fig. 3. Solid state structure of **3**. The thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms omitted. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles (°) for **3**: Fe1-C1 1.765(4), Fe1-C2 1.750(4), Fe1-N1 1.882(3), Fe1-N2 1.909(3), Fe1-P1 2.2508(12), N1-C3 1.363(4), N2-C8 1.346(4), C3-C8 1.422(5), C3-C4 1.414(4), C7-C8 1.413(4), C4-C5 1.361(5), C5-C6 1.411(5), C6-C7 1.367(5); C2-Fe1-C1 92.43(18), N1-Fe1-N2 80.20(12), C1-Fe1-N2 171.55(14), C2-Fe1-N1 134.13(16), C1-Fe1-N1 91.38(15), C2-Fe1-N2 93.93(15), N1-Fe1-P1 125.91(10), N2-Fe1-P1 91.67(10), C2-Fe1-P1 99.54(12), C1-Fe1-P1 92.76(13).

angle 146.98°.

The NN ligand of both **3** and **4** coordinates with the iron center by the two amino nitrogen atoms. The two CO and the phosphine ligand are all mutually *cis*, while the nitrogen ligand is *cis* to the nearby CO ligand. The position *trans* to the phosphine ligand is unoccupied. Both are consistent with the existence of two *cis*-CO ligands (Fig. 4)[21].

Fig. 4. Solid state structure of complex **4**. The thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms omitted. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles (°) for **4**: Fe1-C1 1.766(3), Fe1-C2 1.768(3), Fe1-N2 1.884(2), Fe1-N1 1.900(2), Fe1-P1 2.2258(8), N1-C3 1.362(3), N2-C8 1.361(3), C3-C8 1.420(4), C3-C4 1.411(4), C7-C8 1.409(4), C4-C5 1.368(4), C5-C6 1.407(4), C6-C7 1.375(4), C1-Fe1-C2 92.61(12), N2-Fe1-N1 80.45(10), C1-Fe1-N2 146.88(11), C2-Fe1-N1 162.90(11), N1-Fe1-P1 94.96(8), N2-Fe1-P1 116.88(7), C1-Fe1-P1 95.90(10), C2-Fe1-P1 100.62(9).

Fig. 5. Cyclic voltammograms of the complexes (2 mol/L) in CH₃CN. All potentials are reported vs Fc/Fc⁺ (0.1 mol/L [*n*-Bu₄NPF₆], scan rate = 100 mV/s, 22 °C). (a) complex **3**; (b) complex **4**.

Table 2

Electrochemical data of **3**, **4**, **A**, **B**, and **C**^a.

Complex	$E_{pc2}(Fe^{II}/Fe^I)/V$	$E_{pc1}(Fe^I/Fe^0)/V$	$E_{pa1}(Fe^{II}/Fe^{III})/V$	$E_{pa2}(Fe^{III}/Fe^{IV})/V$
3	-2.27	-2.01	-0.17	0.10
4	-2.21	-1.90	-0.12	0.15
A	-2.34	-2.15	0.10	—
B	-1.36	—	1.26	—
C	-1.86	—	0.60	—

^a All potentials versus Fc/Fc⁺.

3.4. Electrochemical study

Even though the electrochemical properties of many [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase model complexes have been well discussed[22,23], few Hmd model complexes have been studied electrochemically. We used cyclic voltammetric techniques to determine the electrochemical properties of complex **3** and **4** and made comparison with the electrochemical behavior of the Hmd model complexes reported previously. The cyclic voltammograms(CV) of **3** and **4** are shown in Fig. 5. Table 2 lists their electrochemical data along with those of the reported model complexes Fe(3,6-dichloro-1,2-benzenedithiolate)(CO)₂(PMe₃)₂ (**A**) [24], FeBr(2-acylaminopyridine)(CO)₂(PMe₃) (**B**) [25] and [2-C(O)CH₂-6-PhCO₂CH₂C₅H₃N][Fe(CO)₂] (**C**) [26].

Both complexes **3** and **4** exhibited two irreversible reduction ($E_{pc1} = -2.01$ V/−1.90 V and $E_{pc2} = -2.21$ V/−2.27 V) and two irreversible oxidation ($E_{pa1} = -0.17$ V/−0.12 V and $E_{pa2} = 1.0$ V/1.5 V) versus Fc/Fc⁺, respectively. The reduction presumably generated Fe^I and Fe⁰ species [24], and the oxidation events were proposed to generate Fe^{III} and Fe^{IV} species.

The electrochemical behavior of complexes **3** and **4** is similar to the previously reported complex **A** as shown in the Table 2. For example, two irreversible reduction (E_{pc1} and E_{pc2}) of **3** and **4** were in close proximity with those of complex **A** at their respective potentials. The phosphorus ligand combined with the NN ligand played a key role in the large negative shift of its irreversible oxidation (E_{pa1}) and redox potential when compared to those of **B** and **C** [26]. Complexes **3** and **4** have one more irreversible oxidation (E_{pa2}) than **A** which is an octahedral iron(II) complex with a saturated coordination environ-

ment including two strong electron-donating PMe₃ ligands. However, the electrochemical behavior of **3** and **4** was quite different from that of **B** and **C**, which is caused by their quite different coordination spheres.

To investigate the proton reduction property, we conducted the CV in the presence of AcOH. Complexes **3** and **4** showed similar redox properties. When AcOH was added, the current intensity of the original reduction peaks of **3** and **4** increased continuously with addition of the acid. Comparatively, complex **4** showed a notable increase (Fig. 6). The peak appeared at −2.21 V and then shifted gradually to −2.40 V with the addition of AcOH. The electric current of E_{pc2} linearly increased to 200 μA when the acid (AcOH) quantities were raised (0, 2, 3, 4, 5,

Fig. 6. Cyclic voltammograms of complex **4** (2.1 mmol/L) (red line) with AcOH (0, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 equiv.) (colored lines) in 10 mL of CH₃CN. All potentials are reported vs Fc/Fc⁺ (0.1 mol/L *n*-Bu₄NPF₆, scan rate = 100 mV/s, 22 °C).

and 6 equiv.), which was suggested to be a feature of catalytic proton reduction. It is notable that the first reduction peak shifted to a positive potential (from -1.90 V to -1.75 V) in the presence of AcOH above 2 equivalent. That was consistent with the protonation of the amine group in other diiron hydrogenase models [22].

3.5. Protonation and deprotonation

The active site of Hmd can bind CO to yield a facial tricarbonyl species. However, there was no signal that complexes **3** and **4** took up CO under the experimental condition. Furthermore, CO binding reactivity was also not detected by FT-IR when the Lewis acid of HBF_4 was added under a CO atmospheres (1 MPa). Nevertheless, the color of the acetone solution changed from dark purple to orange and the $\nu(\text{CO})$ spectra was shifted to higher frequency. The carbonyl stretches of protonated complex **3-H⁺** were observed at 2050 cm^{-1} and 1999 cm^{-1} and no transient state species or byproduct were observed with the addition of the acid increased up to 6 equiv. (Fig. 7). Complex **3** or **4** can be regenerated with the addition of ethidene diamine. Further experimental results indicated that the reaction was reversible and the protonation/deprotonation

process was completed with 1 equiv. HBF_4 / ethidene diamine. DFT calculations demonstrated that H^+ thermodynamically favored combining one of the N atoms in the protonation. The NN ligand in complex **3** or **4** would be crucial to the relative stability and observation of the protonated products due to its excellent electron-donor properties. However, the attempt to grow single crystals of **3-H⁺** suitable for X-ray diffraction failed after numerous attempts.

3.6. Theoretical calculations of the protonation process

Our previous studies found that the protonated products of $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NS})$ (**5**, $\text{NS} = 2\text{-aminothiophenol}$) can only exist by binding another CO ligand to form the species **5-CO-H⁺** under a similar protonation condition [19]. The combination of CO is reversible according to the experimental results and DFT calculations. According to the results of the previous experiments, **3-H⁺** and **4-H⁺** were stable in acetone solution in the presence of 2–4 equiv. HBF_4 . It can be inferred from the IR spectrum that protonated products were formed and the protonated species could be **3-H⁺**, **4-H⁺** or **3-2H⁺**, **4-2H⁺**. Thus, DFT calculations were carried out in order to find the favored structure of protonated **3** and **4**. Prior to the calculations of the protonated complexes, we performed DFT calculations for isolated **3** and **4** with experimental geometry parameters to confirm the validity of the calculation. We found that the optimized geometry parameters were in good agreement with the distance data found experimentally by X-ray diffraction (deviations $< 5\%$), indicating the reliability of the calculation method used for the present system.

According to the IR monitor, the protonation was a one-step reaction and no transitional species were formed with the addition of HBF_4 . Two possible products were taken into consideration and studied by the DFT calculations. As illustrated in Scheme 3, the total free energy of product **3-H⁺** (-264.97 kJ/mol) is lower than that of product **3-2H⁺** (-182.17 kJ/mol). It could be concluded that complex **3-H⁺** is thermodynamically favored. Complex **3** is more likely to be protonated on one nitrogen atom of the NN ligand to form the product **3-H⁺**. A similar conclusion can be drawn for the protonated product of complex **4**.

3.7. Catalytic hydrogenation of quinone with complex 3

In the catalytic transfer hydrogenation experiment, the addition of catalyst complex **3** facilitated the reduction of quinone substrate. 89% quinone was converted to hydroquinone with the yield of 40% in 7 h at 25°C (Fig. 8). The blank control experiment was conducted without the catalyst and showed that hydrogen transfer did not occur. Hence, the catalytic hydrogen transformation was achieved experimental by the mono iron catalyst with $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ as the proton source.

3.8. Proposed mechanism

According to the experimental results and literature reports [27], a mechanism of transfer hydrogenation involving ethanol

Fig. 7. Protonation and deprotonation of complex **3**.

Scheme 3. Total free energy comparison of the proposed protonated products.

heterolytic cleavage was proposed (Scheme 4). The imine-ethanol adduct **6** was generated first by hydrogen bonding. Being polarized by the central atom Fe and imine ligand, ethanol generated the transition state species **ts-[3]**, then the C-H and O-H bonds were broken successively. With the addition of quinone, a six-membered ring of -Fe-H-C-O-H-N- formed in **ts-[4]**, which stabilized the formed hexatomic ring. The dipole of quinone and easy formation of the O-H-N hydrogen bond contributed to this transformation. Then the hydride transferred from Fe to the carbonyl of quinone and the H-N bond cleaved, finally generating hydroquinone. The adduct **ts-[4]** was similar to the transition state proved in the DFT calculation of the [Fe]-hydrogenase catalytic process by Hall et al. [12], and it also agreed with Noyori's metal-ligand bifunctional catalytic system [15].

Fig. 8. Dependence of the conversion of quinone (1) and yield of hydroquinone (2) on time at 25 °C in ethanol solution, 1.3 mol% complex **3** as catalyst.

4. Conclusions

Two pentacoordinate mono iron complexes were synthesized and structurally characterized as models of the [Fe]-hydrogenase active site. The NN ligand in complexes **3** and **4** exhibited interesting reversible protonation/deprotonation reactivity. The products formed by nitrogen ligand protonation were detected, which indicated that the NN ligand was a good proton acceptor and probably served as an internal base to accept the proton from H₂ before it was delivered to the bulk substrate. This protonation reactivity provides insight into the important role of the internal base in the Hmd function. Complex **3** catalyzed the reduction of benzoquinone in ethanol solution under mild conditions. This study is a progress in the functional mimic of catalytic hydrogenation.

Scheme 4. Proposed pathway for ethanol activation with quinone.

Graphical Abstract

Chin. J. Catal., 2015, 36: 2011–2019 doi: 10.1016/S1872-2067(15)60920-2

Synthesis, characterization and catalytic reactivity of pentacoordinate iron dicarbonyl as a model of the [Fe]-hydrogenase active site

Tianyong Zhang, Liao Sheng, Qiusheng Yang, Shuang Jiang, Yanhong Wang, Chaohui Jin, Bin Li*

Tianjin University; Hebei University of Technology

$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NN})$, (NN = phenylenediamine dianion ligand, $\text{N}_2\text{H}_2\text{Ph}^{2-}$) exhibited interesting reversible protonation/deprotonation activity of the diimine ligand similar to the active site of Hmd. The catalytic transfer hydrogenation of benzoquinone to hydroquinone was catalyzed under mild conditions by this model complex with 89% conversion and 40% yield.

Nomenclature

E_{pa} —Anodic peak potential, V

E_{pc} —Cathodic peak potential, V

E_a —Activation energy, kJ/mol

References

- [1] Shima S, Thauer R K. *Chem Rec*, 2007, 7: 37
- [2] Thauer R K, Klein A R, Hartmann G C. *Chem Rev*, 1996, 96: 3031
- [3] Fontecilla-Camps J C, Volbeda A, Cavazza C, Nicolet Y. *Chem Rev*, 2007, 107: 4273
- [4] Dey S, Das P K, Dey A. *Coordin Chem Rev*, 2013, 257: 42
- [5] Guo Y S, Wang H X, Xiao Y M, Vogt S, Thauer R K, Shima S, Volkers P I, Rauchfuss T B, Pelmenchikov V, Case D A, Alp E E, Sturhahn W, Yoda Y, Cramer S P. *Inorg Chem*, 2008, 47: 3969
- [6] Hiromoto T, Ataka K, Pilak O, Vogt S, Stagni M S, Meyer-Klaucke W, Warkentin E, Thauer R K, Shima S, Ermler U. *FEBS Lett*, 2009, 583: 585
- [7] Salomone-Stagni M, Stellato F, Whaley C M, Vogt S, Morante S, Shima S, Rauchfuss T B, Meyer-Klaucke W. *Dalton Trans*, 2010, 39: 3057
- [8] Chen D F, Scopelliti R, Hu X L. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2010, 132: 928
- [9] Shima S, Pilak O, Vogt S, Schick M, Stagni M S, Meyer-Klaucke W, Warkentin E, Thauer R K, Ermler U. *Science*, 2008, 321: 572
- [10] Shima S, Schick M, Kahnt J, Ataka K, Steinbach K, Linne U. *Dalton Trans*, 2012, 41: 767
- [11] Schultz K M, Chen D F, Hu X L. *Chem Asian J*, 2013, 8: 1068
- [12] Yang X Z, Hall M B. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2009, 131: 10901
- [13] Crabtree R H, Siegbahn P E M, Eisenstein O, Rheingold A L, Koetzle T F. *Acc Chem Res*, 1996, 29: 348
- [14] Szymczak N K, Tyler D R. *Coordin Chem Rev*, 2008, 252: 212
- [15] Noyori R, Koizumi M, Ishii D, Ohkuma T. *Pure Appl Chem*, 2001, 73: 227
- [16] Peris E, Lee J C Jr, Rambo J R, Eisenstein O, Crabtree R H. *J Am Chem Soc*, 1995, 117: 3485
- [17] Matsumoto T, Chang H C, Wakizaka M, Ueno S, Kobayashi A, Nakayama A, Taketsugu T, Kato M. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2013, 135: 8646
- [18] Li B, Liu T B, Popescu C V, Bilko A, Darensbourg M Y. *Inorg Chem*, 2009, 48: 11283
- [19] Liu T B, Li B, Popescu C V, Bilko A, Perez L M, Hall M B, Darensbourg M Y. *Chem Eur J*, 2010, 16: 3083
- [20] Liaw W F, Lee N H, Chen C H, Lee C M, Lee G H, Peng S M. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2000, 122: 488
- [21] Shima S, Lyon E J, Sordel-Klippert M, Kauss M, Kahnt J, Thauer R K, Steinbach K, Xie X L, Verdier L, Griesinger C. *Angew Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, 43: 2541
- [22] Mejia-Rodriguez R, Chong D, Reibenspies J H, Soriaga M P, Darensbourg M Y. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2004, 126: 12004
- [23] Liu T B, Wang M, Shi Z, Cui H G, Dong W B, Chen J, Akermark B, Sun L C. *Chem Eur J*, 2004, 10: 4474
- [24] Kaur-Ghumaan S, Schwartz L, Lomoth R, Stein M, Ott S. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2010, 49: 8033
- [25] Turrell P J, Hill A D, Ibrahim S K, Wright J A, Pickett C J. *Dalton Trans*, 2013, 42: 8140
- [26] Song L C, Hu F Q, Wang M M, Xie Z J, Xu K K, Song H B. *Dalton Trans*, 2014, 43: 8062
- [27] Zuo W W, Lough A J, Li Y F, Morris R H. *Science*, 2013, 342: 1080

单铁氢化酶五配位模型化合物的合成、表征及催化反应性

张天永^{a,b}, 盛 了^a, 杨秋生^c, 姜 爽^a, 王艳红^a, 金朝晖^a, 李 彬^{a,*}

^a天津大学化工学院, 天津市应用催化科学与工程重点实验室, 天津300072

^b天津化学化工协同创新中心, 天津300072

^c河北工业大学化工学院, 天津300130

摘要: 氢化酶仿生化学是当前有机金属化学领域研究的前沿课题, 其主要内容为针对氢化酶的活性中心结构和功能进行化学模拟研究. 自然界中已经发现的氢化酶有三种, 其中[NiFe]氢化酶、[FeFe]氢化酶研究较多. 单铁氢化酶发现于1990年, 是产甲烷杆

菌在厌氧和镍缺乏的条件下合成的。区别于其他两种氢化酶,其活性中心不含Fe-S簇,且仅含有一个Fe原子,并且仅能在底物存在的情况下,催化异裂氢分子并选择性还原特定底物,为产甲烷杆菌代谢提供能量。研究单铁氢化酶的结构和功能,模拟其活化氢、利用氢的过程,对于探索清洁能源的利用和开发新的非贵金属催化剂具有重要意义。

本文以单铁氢化酶(Hmd)结构和功能模拟为导向,针对单铁氢化酶一级配位结构,设计合成了两个新模型化合物。通过IR, NMR, X射线单晶衍射等手段表征分析了模型化合物的性质并确认其结构。探索了其质子化反应特性、电催化还原质子制氢的特性。为了进一步模拟Hmd催化裂解氢气、完成氢转移的功能,以所合成模型物为催化剂实现了在常温常压下,以乙醇作为质子源的催化转移氢化过程。

新单铁模型配合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PR}_3(\text{NN})$ ($\text{R} = \text{Cy}$ (**3**), Ph (**4**), NN , 邻苯二胺二价阴离子配体)由 NN 二齿配体与前体化合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3\text{I}_2\text{PR}_3$ 进行配体取代反应合成。模型化合物活性中心为一个二价铁原子,拥有两个处于 cis -位置的羰基配体,一个邻苯二胺双齿配体(两个氮原子进行配位)以及一个有机膦配体。通过红外光谱表征所合成的具有不饱和五配位结构化合物的光谱性质,可以得到配合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NN})$ 的羰基红外特征谱峰为 $1974, 1919\text{ cm}^{-1}$,配合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PPh}_3(\text{NN})$ 的红外特征谱峰在 1985 和 1929 cm^{-1} 处。通过单晶X射线衍射表征确认了两个化合物结构,并获取晶体学数据。

经研究发现, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PR}_3(\text{NN})$ 能够发生酸碱调控下可逆的质子化/脱质子化过程。基于红外光谱和密度泛函理论计算推断邻苯二胺阴离子配体可以作为内部碱基。在酸性条件下, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PR}_3(\text{NN})$ 分子内部碱基氮原子通过质子化反应结合一个质子,生成 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PR}_3(\text{NN})\cdot\text{H}^+$ 。加入碱之后,重新生成起始化合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PR}_3(\text{NN})$ 。表明N原子作为内部碱基,具有结合和转移质子的能力。该性质与Hmd中半胱氨酸硫配体具有一致性。

通过循环伏安曲线研究了配合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NN})$ 和 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PPh}_3(\text{NN})$ 的电化学性质。其中配合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NN})$ 和 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PPh}_3(\text{NN})$ 均具有两个不可逆的还原峰和氧化峰。在电化学制氢研究中,配合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PPh}_3(\text{NN})$ 的还原峰电流随着乙酸的加入增幅较大,展现出较强的催化质子还原的性质。通过与其他单铁模型配合物对比,可以推断第一个还原峰归属为配合物由 Fe^{II} 转化为 Fe^{I} ,第二个可逆还原峰归属为配合物由 Fe^{I} 转化为 Fe^0 。同时,配合物 $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PPh}_3(\text{NN})$ 第一个还原峰向高电位移动,该现象与双铁模型化合物的电化学性质较为一致。

进一步研究发现,模型化合物具有催化转移氢化的活性。在常温下,乙醇溶剂中, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{PCy}_3(\text{NN})$ 能够催化对苯醌还原转化为对苯二酚,其中对苯醌的转化率达到89%,对苯二酚的产率达到40%。结合实验数据以及文献资料分析,认为乙醇在催化氢化中可以作为质子源,并且提出了催化转移氢化反应过程的机理。认为催化氢化过程中形成了 $-\text{Fe}-\text{H}-\text{C}-\text{O}-\text{H}-\text{N}-$ 六元环,通过分子间相互作用完成了氢原子转移过程。该研究结论对单铁氢化酶活性中心模型化合物在催化氢化反应中的应用具有一定的参考价值。

关键词: 单铁氢化酶; 模型化合物; 催化转移氢化; 功能模型物; 对苯醌

收稿日期: 2015-04-16. 接受日期: 2015-05-23. 出版日期: 2015-11-20.

*通讯联系人. 电话/传真: (022)27406610; 电子信箱: libin@tju.edu.cn

基金来源: 国家自然科学基金(21103121, 21276187); 天津市自然科学基金(13JCQNJC05800); 高等学校博士学科点专项科研基金(20121317110009).

本文的英文电子版由Elsevier出版社在ScienceDirect上出版(<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/18722067>).