available at www.sciencedirect.com

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chnjc

Article

Chemoselective transfer hydrogenation to nitroarenes mediated by oxygen-implanted MoS₂

Chaofeng Zhang ^{a,b}, Xu Wang ^c, Mingrun Li ^a, Zhixin Zhang ^a, Yehong Wang ^a, Rui Si ^c, Feng Wang ^{a,*}^a State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, Liaoning, China^b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China^c Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201204, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 June 2016

Accepted 11 July 2016

Published 5 September 2016

Keywords:

MoS₂

Coordinative unsaturated Mo

Nitroarene

Transfer hydrogenation

Formate

Heterogeneous catalysis

ABSTRACT

We present an efficient approach for the chemoselective synthesis of arylamines from nitroarenes and formate over an oxygen-implanted MoS₂ catalyst (O-MoS₂). O-MoS₂ was prepared by incomplete sulfidation and reduction of an ammonium molybdate precursor. A number of Mo–O bonds were implanted in the as-synthesized ultrathin O-MoS₂ nanosheets. As a consequence of the different coordination geometries of O (MoO₂) and S (MoS₂), and lengths of the Mo–O and Mo–S bonds, the implanted Mo–O bonds induced obvious defects and more coordinatively unsaturated (CUS) Mo sites in O-MoS₂, as confirmed by X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, high resolution transmission electron microscopy, and extended X-ray absorption fine structure characterization of various MoS₂-based materials. O-MoS₂ with abundant CUS Mo sites was found to efficiently catalyze the chemoselective reduction of nitroarenes to arylamines.

© 2016, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Functionalized arylamines [1–3] and their derivatives, such as imines and aromatic azo compounds [4,5], are widely used for the synthesis of dyes, pigments, agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals pesticides, herbicides, and other fine chemicals [6]. Previous studies on the reduction of nitroarenes to anilines have been mainly focused on supported catalysts containing d₆–d₁₀ noble metals and active Ni-based catalysts [7–13]. A crucial issue for the general application of these heterogeneous catalysts for nitro reduction is their selectivity. Different methods, including metal particle size tailoring [14–16], use of alloy nanoparticles [17–19], metal-support cooperative effect regulation [20–22], and solvent and additive screening, have been

employed to promote catalyst selectivity. Taking into account the complex synthesis and oxidizable nature of these noble metal catalysts, there is a strong incentive to develop nitroarene reduction processes with efficient and robust catalysts based on non-precious metals [23–30], which can catalyze the reaction in less harmful solvents and do not require many additives.

Compared with catalytic hydrogenation using H₂ as the hydrogen source, the convenient and selective transfer hydrogenation process has drawn much attention. As an important hydrogen storage process [31,32], transfer hydrogenation using formic acid provides an attractive alternative to the catalytic hydrogenation of nitroarenes; however, few active heterogeneous catalysts based on non-precious metals have been

* Corresponding author. Tel/Fax: +86-411-84379762; E-mail: wangfeng@dicp.ac.cn

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21422308, 21403216, 21273231) and Dalian Excellent Youth Foundation (2014J11JH126).

DOI: 10.1016/S1872-2067(16)62504-4 | http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/18722067 | Chin. J. Catal., Vol. 37, No. 9, September 2016

reported [33–35].

For the reduction of nitroarenes with formate, Llusar and co-workers [36] confirmed that coordinative unsaturated (CUS) Mo sites in cubane-type $[\text{Mo}_3\text{S}_4\text{X}_3(\text{dmpe})_3]^+$ clusters played a crucial role in the transformation of formate to the active hydrogen species for nitroarene reduction. Taking into account the similar structural skeleton of $[\text{Mo}_3\text{S}_4\text{X}_3(\text{dmpe})_3]^+$ clusters and MoS_2 , we postulate that MoS_2 could be a robust and easily prepared catalyst for reduction reactions. However, it has been both theoretically and experimentally proved that while the edge sites of MoS_2 that have CUS Mo sites are catalytically active, the mostly exposed basal planes are inactive [37–39]. Chemical and mechanical exfoliation methods can increase the number of active sites [40–42]. Therefore, in light of the high-aspect-ratio architecture of MoS_2 nanosheets, a novel strategy should be developed to change the mostly exposed MoS_2 basal planes to be catalytically active.

It is anticipated that the fractional replacement of S with O in the MoS_2 crystalline lattice may create extra CUS Mo sites because the ligand geometries of O (MoO_2) and S (MoS_2), and the lengths of the Mo–O and Mo–S bonds are remarkably different [43,44], which could induce lattice distortion and defects. However, it is rather challenging to obtain such a structure via post-modification, because perfect MoS_2 basal planes consisting of closed shell Mo centers and strong Mo–S bonds can either endure post-modification or will be completely changed. Therefore, one possible way to implant O into the MoS_2 lattice should be via bulk synthesis.

Encouraged by previous studies of MoS_2 in photonic and electrocatalytic applications [45–48], we successfully synthesized oxygen-implanted MoS_2 (designated as O- MoS_2) via incomplete sulfidation and reduction of a molybdate precursor ($[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{6-}$). The presence of deliberately left Mo–O or Mo=O bonds in the O- MoS_2 lattice was confirmed by XPS and Raman spectroscopy characterization. HRTEM observations revealed that the as-synthesized O- MoS_2 had a coiled nanosheet morphology with highly distorted crystal lattice and defect-rich zones on its basal planes. EXAFS indicated the existence of four-fold and five-fold CUS Mo sites. As a result of the lattice defects, abundant active CUS Mo sites existed in the O- MoS_2 , which functioned as active sites in the hydrogen transfer reduction of nitroarenes with formate in water.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

All chemicals were of analytical grade and used as obtained without further purification.

2.2. Catalyst preparation

The preparation of the O- MoS_2 was adapted from Xie et al [46]. Typically, 3.70 g $(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (3 mmol, AHM) and 6.85 g thiourea (90 mmol) were dissolved in 105 mL distilled water and placed in an autoclave. The autoclave was tightly sealed in air and then placed in an oven thermally stabilized at

180 °C for 24 h, after which the autoclave was removed from the oven and allowed to naturally cool to room temperature. The solid product was centrifuged, washed with distilled water and ethanol, and then dried at 60 °C under vacuum. The final dry solid was O- MoS_2 . O- MoS_2 -Ar was also prepared by treating O- MoS_2 in an Ar flow at 250 °C for 3 h.

The chemical exfoliation of commercial 2H- MoS_2 (designated as ce MoS_2) was adapted from Chou et al [49]. 700 mg of 2H- MoS_2 powder was immersed in 10 mL of *n*-butyllithium (1.6 mol/L in hexane) and stirred for 48 h under Ar protection. After the stirring, 20 mL hexane was added to the mixture, and 0.36 mL H_2O was then added dropwise over 10 min under Ar flow. After 0.5 h, a further 200 mL of H_2O was added to the mixture. The mixture was then sonicated for 2 h to achieve exfoliation. The ce MoS_2 nanosheets were then centrifuged and washed with water and ethanol five times, and the final products were obtained after vacuum drying at room temperature.

$\text{MoO}_3/2\text{H-MoS}_2$ (MoO_3 , 5 wt%) was prepared by impregnating 2H- MoS_2 (1.0 g) in 8 mL of AHM solution (61 mg). The extra solvent was evaporated on a metal heating plate at 120 °C. The obtained dry solid was then annealed at 500 °C for 2 h in Ar flow (30 mL/min). $\text{MoO}_2/2\text{H-MoS}_2$ (MoO_2 , 5 wt%) was obtained by adding 2H- MoS_2 (1.0 g) to 8 mL of phosphomolybdic acid solution (7.5 g/L). The extra solvent was evaporated on a metal heating plate at 120 °C. The obtained dry solid was then reduced at 500 °C for 2 h in a H_2/N_2 (1/9) flow (30 mL/min) [28]. Partially oxidized 2H- MoS_2 (2H- $\text{MoS}_2\text{-O}_2$) was prepared by treating 2H- MoS_2 in an air flow (30 mL/min) at 400 °C for 0.5 h.

2.3. Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were conducted on a Rigaku D/Max 3400 powder diffraction system with $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.542 \text{ \AA}$). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out on a VGESCALAB MK2 spectrometer equipped with an Al $\text{K}\alpha$ X-ray source ($h\nu = 1486.6 \text{ eV}$) operated at a voltage of 12.5 kV. The binding energy (BE) was calibrated with the C 1s signal (284.6 eV) as a reference. Raman spectra were recorded on a micro-Raman spectrometer (Renishaw) equipped with a CCD detector using a He/Ne laser with a wavelength of 532 nm. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was carried out on an FEI Tecnai F30 electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV.

X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectral measurements at the Mo K-edge ($E_0 = 20000 \text{ eV}$) were performed at beamline BL14W1 of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) operated at 3.5 GeV under “top-up” mode with a constant current of 240 mA. The XAFS data were recorded under transmission mode with ion chambers. The energy was calibrated according to the absorption edge of pure Mo foil. Athena and Artemis codes were used to extract the data and fit the profiles. For the X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) part, the experimental absorption coefficients as function of energy $\mu(E)$ were processed using background subtraction and normalization procedures, and are reported as “normalized absorptions”. For the extended X-ray absorption fine

structure (EXAFS) part, the Fourier transformed (FT) data in R space were analyzed by applying a MoS_2 model for the Mo–S or Mo–Mo shell. The passive electron factors, S_0^2 , were determined by fitting the experimental Mo foil data and fixing the Mo–Mo coordination number (CN) as 8+6, then fixed for further analysis of the investigated samples. The parameters describing the electronic properties (e.g., correction to the photoelectron energy origin, E_0) and local structural environment, including CN, bond distance (R), and Debye Waller (D.W.) factor around the absorbing atoms, were allowed to vary during the fitting process.

The CUS Mo sites of MoS_2 were probed and quantitatively analyzed by temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia (NH_3 -TPD). A few milligrams of MoS_2 sample were loaded into a quartz tubular reactor, which was heated in a vertical electronic furnace. The desorption gas mixture was analyzed with an online mass spectrometer (THERMO^{Star} gas analysis system). The sample was initially pretreated at 100 °C under an Ar gas flow (30 mL/min) for 1 h to remove adsorbed impurities, and then cooled to room temperature. The flow gas was then switched to ammonia gas (99.9%) for 30 min at room temperature, and then back to Ar gas. The reactor temperature was increased to 400 °C at a ramp rate of 10 °C/min. An $m/z = 17$ signal was recorded for NH_3 and HO^* from H_2O . The pure NH_3 mass signal was the value obtained after subtraction of the HO^* mass signal from the $m/z = 17$ (NH_3 and HO^*) signal, based on the reference mass spectrum of H_2O ($m/z = 18$). The quantitative results were calculated by referring to an ammonia standard curve.

2.4. Catalytic reactions and product analyses

For the catalytic reactions, typically, 0.5 mmol nitroarene, 2.5 mL solvent, 189 mg ammonium formate (3.0 mmol), and catalyst (20 mg) were added to a 15 mL pressure bottle reactor (maximum pressure 1.0 MPa, the typical reaction pressure was less than 0.4 MPa) with magnetic stirring. The atmosphere in the reactor was then replaced with Ar gas three times and tightly sealed. The reactor was placed in an oil bath preheated to the desired temperature. After the set reaction time, the reactor was quenched with cold water and the reaction mixture was diluted with 7.5 mL ethanol. The ethanol solution was analyzed by GC and GC-MS.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. General characterization

Two distinct peaks were observed at the low-angle region of the XRD pattern of the synthesized O- MoS_2 , with d -spacings of 9.52 Å and 4.76 Å, respectively (Figure 1), indicating the formation of a new lamellar structure with enlarged interlayer spacing. Thermal treatment of O- MoS_2 in Ar gas at 250 °C for 3 h caused the XRD pattern of the material (O- MoS_2 -Ar) to resemble that of commercial 2H- MoS_2 (JCPDS Card No. 37-1492), signifying that the thermal treatment transformed the O- MoS_2 . It should be noted that no molybdenum oxide (MoO_2 or MoO_3)

Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the MoS_2 materials.

crystallites were present in O- MoS_2 according to the XRD results. EDX analysis showed that the O content of the O- MoS_2 was 3.7 atom%. The TPD-MS results (Figure 2) showed that no lattice oxygen species were released from the O- MoS_2 as O_2 or SO_2 in the Ar flow below 250 °C.

XPS was carried out to investigate the chemical composition of the sample. As shown in Figure 3(a), two characteristic peaks arising from Mo $3d_{5/2}$ and Mo $3d_{3/2}$ orbitals were located at 229.1 and 232.2 eV in the spectra, respectively, suggesting the dominance of $\text{Mo}^{(IV)}$ in the product [39]. In contrast, the S $2p$ region (Figure 3(b)) primarily exhibited a single doublet with a $2p_{3/2}$ peak at 161.7 eV, which is consistent with -2 oxidation state of sulfur [39]. Furthermore, as shown in Figure 3(c), the observed O $1s$ peak located at 530.7 eV corresponded to the bonding of oxygen with Mo ions [50], suggesting the existence of $\text{Mo}^{(IV)}$ –O bonds and thus verifying the successful incorporation of oxygen. The peak located at 532.0 eV can be attributed to adsorbed water. Because the molybdate precursor contained Mo^{6+} , it is very reasonable that the sulfidation and reduction process was incomplete and some Mo–O bonds remained in the O- MoS_2 sample.

More bonding information was revealed by the Raman spectra (Figure 4). The peaks at 376 and 401 cm^{-1} arose from

Fig. 2. TPD profiles of the O- MoS_2 in Ar flow.

Fig. 3. XPS spectra showing the binding energy of molybdenum (a), sulfur (b), and oxygen (c) in the O-MoS₂.

the E_{2g}^1 and A_{1g} vibrational modes of the Mo–S bonds, respectively, which are present in both 2H-MoS₂ and O-MoS₂ [51,52]. The peaks at 281 and 335 cm⁻¹, attributed to the B_{2g} and B_{1g} vibrational modes of $\delta(\text{Mo}=\text{O})$ and $\delta(\text{OMo}_3)$ respectively, only present in O-MoS₂ [53]. Together with the peak at 662 cm⁻¹

(OMo₃ units) and strong bands at 818 cm⁻¹ (Mo–O–Mo bonds) and 993 cm⁻¹ [$\nu(\text{Mo}=\text{O})$] [53], these results confirm that Mo–O bonds existed in O-MoS₂ with bonding types of Mo=O, OMo₃, and Mo–O–Mo. No MoO₂ phase appeared in the XRD pattern of the O-MoS₂, which implied a high dispersion of oxygen ions in the O-MoS₂ structure.

The morphology of the MoS₂ materials was observed by HRTEM. Both 2H-MoS₂ (Figure 5(b)) and ceMoS₂ (Figure 5(d)) preferentially exposed basal planes with ordered Mo and S atoms, indicating a well-crystallized phase. In contrast, O-MoS₂ featured twisted ultrathin sheets, the atomic surface of which was short-range ordered but long-range disordered, as illustrated by the high-resolution image shown in Figure 5(f). Except for the lattice-distorted zones, a number of subnano-sized defected zones with additional edge sites were also observed on O-MoS₂. The thermal treatment of O-MoS₂ in Ar flow at 250 °C reformed the O-MoS₂, and consequently, the O-MoS₂-Ar surface became ordered (Figure 5(h)).

Different from XRD, which is used to determine the crystal structure of well-crystallized materials, XAFS is very effective for determining the short-range local structure of heterogeneous catalysts [54–56]. As shown in Figure 5, O-MoS₂ was

Fig. 4. Raman spectra of O-MoS₂ and 2H-MoS₂.

Fig. 5. TEM and HRTEM images of 2H-MoS₂ (a, b), ceMoS₂ (c, d), O-MoS₂ (e, f), and O-MoS₂-Ar (g, h).

Fig. 6. Normalized E space spectra (a) of the Mo-based materials and EXAFS R space spectra of 2H-MoS₂ (b), O-MoS₂ (c), and O-MoS₂-Ar (d).

short-range ordered but long-range disordered. To obtain more structural information about the MoS₂-based materials, the EXAFS fitting method was adopted to evaluate the change in the coordination shell of the Mo atoms in the O-MoS₂ crystal structure.

It can be seen from Figure 6(a) that the Mo in all analyzed samples (2H-MoS₂, O-MoS₂, O-MoS₂-Ar) was more oxidized than Mo foil (Mo⁰), which is in good agreement with the MoS₂ model. For the EXAFS part, Table 1 shows that the Mo–Mo distance (*R*) in the structure of 2H-MoS₂ was 3.17 Å, with a coordination number (CN) of 6.9±1.3, which are very close to the theoretical values (*R*_{Mo–Mo} = 3.15 Å, CN_{Mo–Mo} = 6) and show that the commercial 2H-MoS₂ had a well-crystallized phase. However, when oxygen (3.7 atom% according to the EDX results) was implanted into the MoS₂ structure via the hydrothermal synthesis method, the Mo–Mo distance decreased from 3.15 Å to 2.77 Å. This shows that the layered structure changed essentially, which was reflected by the differences in the XRD pat-

terns of O-MoS₂ and 2H-MoS₂. The CN of Mo–Mo in O-MoS₂ changed more obviously to 0.6±0.2, which indicates that the Mo–Mo structure on the second shell almost disappeared and that O-MoS₂ had a high degree of disorder, as confirmed by HRTEM (Figure 5(f)). When O-MoS₂ was treated in Ar at 250 °C, the Mo–Mo distance in the resulting O-MoS₂-Ar returned to 3.16±0.01 Å, which showed that the thermal treatment not only reformed the interlayer spacing (Figure 1, O-MoS₂ and O-MoS₂-Ar) but also increased the crystallinity of the layer structure. Meanwhile, the CN of Mo–Mo in O-MoS₂-Ar was 2.9±1.1, which also indicated the existence of structural disorder. In the MoS₂-based materials, the Mo–S distance almost conformed to the theoretical value (Mo–S bond, 2.41 Å), and the CN of the Mo–S structure in each material was 4.2–5.2 with a lower fluctuation than that of the Mo–Mo structure. These results show that the interaction between Mo and S in the present system was strong and stable. Given that the oxygen content of the O-MoS₂ was almost ~3 atom% (EDX results) and the CN was 4.2±0.5 for the Mo–S structure and 0.6±0.2 for the Mo–Mo structure in O-MoS₂, O-MoS₂ was expected to contain more CUS Mo sites than 2H-MoS₂ or O-MoS₂-Ar. These CUS Mo sites were thought to exist as four-fold and five-fold coordinated Mo species.

3.2. Probe catalytic reactions for the CUS Mo sites

The reduction of nitrobenzene with ammonium formate (HCOONH₄) was used as a probe reaction to examine the

Table 1
EXAFS fitting results of Mo-based catalysts.

Sample	Mo–S		Mo–Mo	
	<i>R</i> /Å	CN	<i>R</i> /Å	CN
Mo foil	—	—	2.72±0.00	8
			3.14±0.01	6
2H-MoS ₂	2.40±0.00	5.2±0.5	3.17±0.00	6.9±1.3
O-MoS ₂	2.41±0.01	4.2±0.5	2.77±0.02	0.6±0.2
O-MoS ₂ -Ar	2.40±0.01	4.7±0.4	3.16±0.01	2.9±1.1

R—Distance; CN—Coordination number.

Table 2Reduction of nitrobenzene to aniline ^a.

Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	GC yield of aniline ^g (%)
1	2H-MoS ₂	H ₂ O	<0.5
2	MoS ₃	H ₂ O	4.1
3	ceMoS ₂	H ₂ O	54.9
4	O-MoS ₂	H ₂ O	>99
5	no catalyst	H ₂ O	<0.5
6 ^b	O-MoS ₂	H ₂ O	0
7 ^c	O-MoS ₂	H ₂ O	<0.5
8	O-MoS ₂	ethanol	11.7
9	O-MoS ₂	DMF	14.2
10	O-MoS ₂	DMSO	82.0
11	MoO ₂	H ₂ O	0
12	MoO ₃	H ₂ O	0
13	MoO ₃ /2H-MoS ₂	H ₂ O	0
14	MoO ₂ /2H-MoS ₂	H ₂ O	0
15	O-MoS ₂ -Ar	H ₂ O	0.9
16	2H-MoS ₂ -O ₂	H ₂ O	0
17 ^d	O-MoS ₂	H ₂ O	76.1
18 ^e	no	H ₂ O	76.2
19	O-MoS ₂ ^f	H ₂ O	>99

^a Reaction conditions: nitrobenzene 0.5 mmol, HCOONH₄ 3.0 mmol, H₂O 2.5 mL, catalyst 20 mg, Ar, 130 °C, 3 h. ^b Without HCOONH₄. ^c *P*(H₂) 0.4 MPa. ^d 1 h. ^e Hot filtration experiment for another 100-min reaction after 1 h reaction. ^f Reuse of O-MoS₂ for the fourth time. ^g Aniline was the only product.

change in the CUS Mo sites in the different MoS₂ materials [36]. The nitrobenzene conversion over 2H-MoS₂ and MoS₃ was 0.5% and 4.1%, respectively (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). Reaction over ceMoS₂ offered a 54.9% aniline yield (entry 3). Unexpectedly, reaction over O-MoS₂ gave >99% aniline yield within 3 h (entry 4). Both O-MoS₂ and HCOONH₄ were indispensable for the reaction (entries 5 and 6). Reaction with H₂ as reductant gave no product (entry 7), which implies that the reduction involves active hydrogen species from HCOONH₄, not molecular H₂. Water was the best solvent among water, ethanol, DMF, and DMSO (entries 8–10). This could be because HCOONH₄ was best ionized in water, which is regarded as the key step during the dehydrogenation of formate to the active H* species [36,57].

Fig. 7. Reduction of nitrobenzene with HCOONH₄. Reaction conditions: nitrobenzene 0.5 mmol, HCOONH₄ 3.0 mmol, H₂O 2.5 mL, O-MoS₂ 20 mg 130 °C, Ar.

Some other Mo-based catalysts were compared with O-MoS₂. Pure MoO₂ and MoO₃ were inactive for nitrobenzene reduction (Table 2, entries 11 and 12). Even the highly dispersed molybdenum oxides on the 2H-MoS₂ support have no activity at all (entries 13 and 14). Thermal treatment in Ar made O-MoS₂ inactive (entry 15). An attempt to post-oxidize the perfect 2H-MoS₂ surface failed to obtain an active catalyst (entry 16), indicating the uniqueness of the present incomplete sulfidation and reduction process during the O-containing MoS₂ bulk synthesis. Catalyst hot filtration was also performed (entry 17). No further conversion occurred after the filtration over the next 100 min of reaction at 130 °C (entry 18), which indicated that the reaction was a heterogeneous catalysis (Figure 7). The spent catalyst was filtered out, washed with ethanol, dried under vacuum, and then used in a new reaction. It was found to be reusable for at least four cycles with comparably good results (entry 19), showing the stability of O-MoS₂ (Figure 8).

Two reaction routes for nitrobenzene reduction are widely accepted: the direct route and the condensation route (Scheme 1) [27,58,59]. The condensation route involves an azobenzene intermediate. When azobenzene (0.25 mmol) was used as reactant, O-MoS₂ offered 12.5% conversion at 130 °C in 3 h. The

Fig. 8. Reusability of O-MoS₂ in the nitrobenzene reduction reaction. Reaction conditions: nitrobenzene 0.5 mmol, HCOONH₄ 3.0 mmol, H₂O 2.5 mL, O-MoS₂ 20 mg, Ar, 130 °C, 3 h.

Scheme 1. Reaction network of the nitrobenzene reduction.

Scheme 2. Possible mechanism of nitrobenzene reduction over the CUS Mo sites on the surface of the O-MoS₂.

conversion of PhNO₂ over O-MoS₂ was 7 times greater than the azobenzene conversion. Based on the characterization of the catalyst, we believe that the reaction occurred mainly via the direct route with the following mechanism (Scheme 2): (i) HCOONH₄ initially adsorbs on the CUS Mo site and generates a Mo-formate intermediate; (ii) the Mo-formate intermediate transforms to molybdenum hydride (Mo-H) via a β -hydride elimination [28,36,60], simultaneously releasing a CO₂; (iii) finally, the nitro-group is hydrogenated by the Mo-H, and the CUS Mo site is restored to its initial state for the next cycle.

We then explored the substrate scope. *p*-Chloroaniline was obtained in >99% GC yield without dehalogenation (Table 3, entry 1). The nitroarenes with -OH, -CH₃, -COCH₃ and -OCH₃ substituents are only slightly soluble in water, so 0.5 wt% of

cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) relative to the solvent mass was added. By doing so, these nitroarenes were converted to the corresponding arylamines in >99% yield without affecting these functional groups (entries 2–5). The isolated yields of *p*-chloroaniline and *p*-acetylaniline were 92.1% and 87.2%, respectively. Moreover, multiamino aromatic compounds could also be efficiently and selectively produced via the chemoselective reduction of the corresponding nitroarenes or nitroarene (entries 6 and 7). Notably, O-MoS₂ efficiently catalyzed the reduction of a heterocyclic nitroarene to an amine (entry 8). However, it was inactive for the reduction of olefin, alkyne, and carbonyl compounds (entries 10–12) with HCOONH₄ at 130 °C. Additionally, 2H-MoS₂ remained catalytically inert in the aqueous solution with CTAB (entry 9).

3.3. Location of CUS Mo sites

Next, we quantitatively measured the CUS Mo sites using NH₃-TPD (Figure 9). The chemically exfoliated MoS₂ (ceMoS₂) contained more CUS Mo sites (34.8 μ mol/g) than 2H-MoS₂ (10.7 μ mol/g). In comparison, the amount of CUS Mo sites in O-MoS₂ (226.4 μ mol/g) was 7 times greater than that of ceMoS₂. After treatment in Ar at 250 °C, the amount of CUS Mo sites in O-MoS₂-Ar was remarkably decreased to 11.2 μ mol/g. This is consistent with the HRTEM observations (Figures 5(f) and 4(h)), which showed that the lattice matrix became ordered after thermal treatment. In turn, the ordered matrix implies a loss of CUS Mo sites and decreased catalytic activity.

We then established a model to elucidate the location of CUS Mo sites. Here, we assumed that the MoS₂ nanosheets had a parallelogram shape and equal edge lengths. The dependence of the edge CUS Mo site ratio (R_n) among the total Mo ions of the MoS₂ on the edge length (L_n) is given in Figure 10. By assuming all edge Mo to be CUS sites, R_n is equal to $(4n-1)/(n^2+2n)$, where n is the number of hexagons at the edge. The CUS Mo site ratio (R) was calculated for each MoS₂ on the basis of one CUS Mo site adsorbing one NH₃, as given in the inset table of Figure 9. According to the formulae $R_n = (4n-1)/(n^2+2n)$ and $L_n = 0.315n$, the edge length of O-MoS₂

Table 3

Scope of the reduction reaction with ammonium formate in aqueous solution^a.

Entry	Substrate	Product	GC yield (%)
1 ^b	4-Cl-PhNO ₂	4-Cl-PhNH ₂	99 (92.1)
2	4-HO-PhNO ₂	4-HO-PhNH ₂	>99
3	4-CH ₃ -PhNO ₂	4-CH ₃ -PhNH ₂	>99
4	4-CH ₃ CO-PhNO ₂	4-CH ₃ CO-PhNH ₂	99 (87.2)
5	4-CH ₃ O-PhNO ₂	4-CH ₃ O-PhNH ₂	>99
6	4-H ₂ N-PhNO ₂	4-H ₂ N-PhNH ₂	>99
7	3-NO ₂ -PhNO ₂	3-NH ₂ -PhNH ₂	>99
8	5-NO ₂ -indole	5-NH ₂ -indole	80.6
9 ^c	PhNO ₂	PhNH ₂	0
10	Ph-CH=CH ₂	Ph-CH ₂ H ₅	0
11	Ph-C $\equiv$ CH	Ph-CH=CH ₂	0
12	4-(CH ₃) ₂ N-Ph-CHO	4-(CH ₃) ₂ N-Ph-CH ₃	0.8

^a Reaction conditions: Substrate 0.5 mmol, H₂O 3.0 mL contains 0.5 wt% of CTAB, HCOONH₄ 3.6 mmol, O-MoS₂ 20 mg, 130 °C, Ar, 6 h.

^b No CTAB, 3 h. ^c 2H-MoS₂ 20 mg, with CTAB, 3 h.

Fig. 9. NH₃-TPD profiles of the MoS₂ materials.

Fig. 10. Ratio model of change in edge sites of MoS₂ with edge length.

should be shorter than 34 nm given that its R is 3.63 %. If one CUS Mo site adsorbs two NH₃, the edge length of O-MoS₂ should be 69 nm. Actually, its size was much longer than 200 nm (Figure 5(e)). This inconsistency suggests that only a minor amount of the CUS Mo sites (ca. 5%) existed at the edge, while the majority were located on the distorted basal planes of the O-MoS₂, which is consistent with the HRTEM and NH₃-TPD analyses of O-MoS₂ and O-MoS₂-Ar.

4. Conclusions

We have herein reported a strategy for activating catalytically inert MoS₂ basal planes into highly active locations for the reduction of nitroarenes to arylamines with formate. The active O-MoS₂ was synthesized via incomplete sulfidation and reduction of the molybdate precursor ([Mo₇O₂₄]⁶⁻). The deliberately left Mo–O bonds interrupted the growth of MoS₂ layers with a perfect lattice, which induced lattice distortion and defects that exposed extra coordinatively unsaturated Mo sites on the basal

planes as catalytically active sites, as confirmed by Raman spectroscopy, HRTEM, XPS, EXAFS, and NH₃-TPD. We believe that this MoS₂ synthesis method will broaden the applications of MoS₂ catalysts from traditional high-temperature gas-phase reactions to mild heterogeneous liquid-phase reactions.

References

- [1] R. V. Jagadeesh, A. E. Surkus, H. Junge, M. M. Pohl, J. Radnik, J. Rabeah, H. M. Huan, V. Schünemann, A. Brückner, M. Beller, *Science*, **2013**, 342, 1073–1076.
- [2] A. Corma, P. Serna, *Science*, **2006**, 313, 332–324.
- [3] L. He, L. C. Wang, H. Sun, J. Ni, Y. Cao, H. Y. He, K. N. Ean, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2009**, 48, 9538–9541.
- [4] A. Grirrane, A. Corma, H. Garcia, *Science*, **2008**, 322, 1661–1664.
- [5] X. Liu, H. Q. Li, S. Ye, Y. M. Liu, H. Y. He, Y. Cao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2014**, 53, 7624–7628.
- [6] R. S. Downing, P. J. Kunkeler, H. van Bekkum, *Catal. Today*, **1997**, 37, 121–136.
- [7] M. Pietrowski, *Green Chem.*, **2011**, 13, 1633–1635.
- [8] Z. Y. Sun, Y. F. Zhao, Y. Xie, R. T. Tao, H. Y. Zhang, C. L. Huang, Z. M. Liu, *Green Chem.*, **2010**, 12, 1007–1011.
- [9] H. S. Wei, X. Y. Liu, A. Q. Wang, L. L. Zhang, B. T. Qiao, X. F. Yang, Y. Q. Huang, S. Miao, J. Y. Liu, T. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, **2014**, 5, 5634.
- [10] A. Corma, C. González-Arellano, M. Iglesias, F. Sánchez, *Appl. Catal. A*, **2009**, 356, 99–102.
- [11] A. Corma, P. Serna, H. García, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2007**, 129, 6358–6359.
- [12] T. Fu, P. Hu, T. Wang, Z. Dong, N. H. Xue, L. M. Peng, X. F. Guo, W. P. Ding, *Chin. J. Catal.*, **2015**, 36, 2030–2035.
- [13] J. R. Li, X. H. Li, Y. Ding, P. Wu, *Chin. J. Catal.*, **2015**, 36, 1995–2003.
- [14] K. I. Shimizu, Y. Miyamoto, A. Satsuma, *J. Catal.*, **2010**, 270, 86–94.
- [15] Y. M. Lu, H. Z. Zhu, W. G. Li, B. Hu, S. H. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **2013**, 1, 3783–3788.
- [16] X. F. Yang, A. Q. Wang, B. T. Qiao, J. Li, J. Y. Liu, T. Zhang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2013**, 46, 1740–1748.
- [17] S. F. Cai, H. H. Duan, H. P. Rong, D. S. Wang, L. S. Li, W. He, Y. D. Li, *ACS Catal.*, **2013**, 3, 608–612.
- [18] H. S. Wei, X. Wei, X. F. Yang, G. Z. Yin, A. Q. Wang, X. Y. Liu, Y. Q. Huang, T. Zhang, *Chin. J. Catal.*, **2015**, 36, 160–167.

Graphical Abstract

Chin. J. Catal., 2016, 37: 1569–1578 doi: 10.1016/S1872-2067(16)62504-4

Chemoselective transfer hydrogenation to nitroarenes mediated by oxygen-implanted MoS₂

Chaofeng Zhang, Xu Wang, Mingrun Li, Zhixin Zhang, Yehong Wang, Rui Si, Feng Wang*

Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences;
Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences;
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

We present an efficient approach for the chemoselective synthesis of arylamines from nitroarenes and formate over an oxygen-implanted MoS₂ catalyst (O-MoS₂). The O-MoS₂ was prepared by incomplete sulfidation and reduction of the ammonium molybdate precursor. O-MoS₂ with abundant CUS Mo sites efficiently catalyzed the chemoselective reduction of nitroarenes to arylamines.

- [19] S. P. Jian, Y. W. Li, *Chin. J. Catal.*, **2016**, 37, 91–97.
- [20] M. Boronat, P. Concepcion, A. Corma, S. Gonzalez, F. Illas, P. Serna, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2007**, 129, 16230–16237.
- [21] T. Mitsudome, Y. Mikami, M. Matoba, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2012**, 51, 136–139.
- [22] A. Noujima, T. Mitsudome, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2011**, 50, 2986–2989.
- [23] P. S. Kumbhar, J. Sanchez-Valente, F. Figueras, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1998**, 39, 2573–2574.
- [24] Q. X. Shi, R. W. Lu, K. Jin, Z. X. Zhang, D. F. Zhao, *Green Chem.*, **2006**, 8, 868–870.
- [25] D. Cantillo, M. Baghbanzadeh, C. O. Kappe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2012**, 51, 10190–10193.
- [26] F. A. Westerhaus, R. V. Jagadeesh, G. Wienhöfer, M. M. Pohl, J. Radnik, A. E. Surkus, J. Rabeah, K. Junge, H. Junge, M. Nielsen, A. Brückner, M. Beller, *Nat. Chem.*, **2013**, 5, 537–543.
- [27] H. Z. Zhu, Y. M. Lu, F. J. Fan, S. H. Yu, *Nanoscale*, **2013**, 5, 7219–7223.
- [28] C. F. Zhang, J. M. Lu, M. R. Li, Y. H. Wang, Z. Zhang, H. J. Chen, F. Wang, *Green Chem.*, **2016**, 18, 2435–2442.
- [29] H. Y. Zhou, L. Shi, Q. Sun, *Chin. J. Catal.*, **2012**, 33, 1463–1469.
- [30] Z. K. Zhao, H. L. Yang, Y. Li, X. W. Guo, *Green Chem.*, **2014**, 16, 1274–1281.
- [31] A. Boddien, F. Gärtner, C. Federsel, P. Sponholz, D. Mellmann, R. Jackstell, H. Junge, M. Beller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2011**, 50, 6411–6414.
- [32] X. Liu, S. S. Li, Y. M. Liu, Y. Cao, *Chin. J. Catal.*, **2015**, 36, 1461–1475.
- [33] R. V. Jagadeesh, D. Banerjee, P. B. Arockiam, H. Junge, K. Junge, M. M. Pohl, J. Radnik, A. Brückner, M. Beller, *Green Chem.*, **2015**, 17, 898–902.
- [34] A. Saha, B. Ranu, *J. Org. Chem.*, **2008**, 73, 6867–6870.
- [35] D. C. Gowda, A. S. P. Gowda, A. R. Baba, S. Gowda, *Synth. Commun.*, **2000**, 30, 2889–2895.
- [36] I. Sorribes, G. Wienhofer, C. Vicent, K. Junge, R. Llusar, M. Beller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2012**, 51, 7794–7798.
- [37] T. F. Jaramillo, K. P. Jorgensen, J. Bonde, J. H. Nielsen, S. Hørch, I. Chorkendorff, *Science*, **2007**, 317, 100–102.
- [38] H. I. Karunadasa, E. Montalvo, Y. J. Sun, M. Majda, J. R. Long, C. J. Chang, *Science*, **2012**, 335, 698–702.
- [39] J. Kibsgaard, Z. B. Chen, B. N. Reinecke, T. F. Jaramillo, *Nat. Mater.*, **2012**, 11, 963–969.
- [40] R. J. Smith, P. J. King, M. Lotya, C. Wirtz, U. Khan, S. De, A. O'Neill, G. S. Duesberg, J. C. Grunlan, G. Moriarty, J. Chen, J. Z. Wang, A. I. Minnett, V. Nicolosi, J. N. Coleman, *Adv. Mater.*, **2011**, 23, 3944–3948.
- [41] Z. Y. Zeng, T. Sun, J. X. Zhu, X. Huang, Z. Y. Yin, G. Lu, Z. X. Fan, Q. Y. Yan, H. H. Hng, H. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2012**, 51, 9052–9056.
- [42] K. G. Zhou, N. N. Mao, H. X. Wang, Y. Peng, H. L. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2011**, 50, 10839–10842.
- [43] R. Tokarz-Sobieraj, R. Grybos, M. Witko, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2011**, 391, 137–143.
- [44] B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti, A. Kis, *Nat. Nanotech.*, **2011**, 6, 147–150.
- [45] J. F. Xie, J. J. Zhang, S. Li, F. Grote, X. D. Zhang, H. Zhang, R. X. Wang, Y. Lei, B. C. Pan, Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, 135, 17881–17888.
- [46] J. F. Xie, H. Zhang, S. Li, R. X. Wang, X. Sun, M. Zhou, J. F. Zhou, X. W. Lou, Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, **2013**, 25, 5807–5813.
- [47] J. Deng, H. B. Li, J. P. Xiao, Y. C. Tu, D. H. Deng, H. X. Yang, H. F. Tian, J. Q. Li, P. J. Ren, X. H. Bao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **2015**, 8, 1594–1601.
- [48] Q. F. Gong, L. Cheng, C. H. Liu, M. Zhang, Q. L. Feng, H. L. Ye, M. Zeng, L. M. Xie, Z. Liu, Y. G. Li, *ACS Catal.*, **2015**, 5, 2213–2219.
- [49] S. S. Chou, B. Kaehr, J. Kim, B. M. Foley, M. De, P. E. Hopkins, J. X. Huang, C. J. Brinker, V. P. Dravid, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2013**, 52, 4160–4164.
- [50] Y. M. Sun, X. L. Hu, W. Luo, Y. H. Huang, *ACS Nano*, **2011**, 5, 7100–7107.
- [51] C. Lee, H. Yan, L. E. Brus, T. F. Heinz, J. Hone, S. Ryu, *ACS Nano*, **2010**, 4, 2695–2700.
- [52] H. Li, Q. Zhang, C. C. R. Yap, B. K. Tay, T. H. T. Edwin, A. Olivier, D. Baillargeat, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, **2012**, 22, 1385–1390.
- [53] L. Seguin, M. Figlarz, R. Cavagnat, J. C. Lassègues, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, **1995**, 51, 1323–1344.
- [54] X. G. Guo, G. Z. Fang, G. Li, H. Ma, H. J. Fan, L. Yu, C. Ma, X. Wu, D. H. Deng, M. M. Wei, D. L. Tan, R. Si, S. Zhang, J. Q. Li, L. T. Sun, Z. C. Tang, X. L. Pan, X. H. Bao, *Science*, **2014**, 344, 616–619.
- [55] J. Ke, W. Zhu, Y. Y. Jiang, R. Si, Y. J. Wang, S. C. Li, C. H. Jin, H. C. Liu, W. G. Song, C. H. Yan, Y. W. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, **2015**, 5, 5164–5173.
- [56] W. W. Wang, P. P. Du, S. H. Zou, H. Y. He, R. X. Wang, Z. Jin, S. Shi, Y. Y. Huang, R. Si, Q. S. Song, C. J. Jia, C. H. Yan, *ACS Catal.*, **2015**, 5, 2088–2099.
- [57] Q. Y. Bi, X. L. Du, Y. M. Liu, Y. Cao, H. Y. He, K. N. Fan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, 134, 8926–8933.
- [58] F. Haber, *Z. Elektrochem. Angew. Phys. Chem.*, **1898**, 4, 506–513.
- [59] A. Corma, P. Concepcion, P. Serna, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2007**, 46, 7266–7269.
- [60] A. Boddien, D. Mellmann, F. Gärtner, R. Jackstell, H. Junge, P. J. Dyson, G. Laurenczy, R. Ludwig, M. Beller, *Science*, **2011**, 333, 1733–1736.

氧掺入 MoS₂ 调节芳香硝基化合物选择性转氢还原

张超锋^{a,b}, 王旭^c, 李名润^a, 张志鑫^a, 王业红^a, 司锐^c, 王峰^{a,*}

^a中国科学院大连化学物理研究所催化基础国家重点实验室, 洁净能源国家实验室(筹), 辽宁大连 116023

^b中国科学院大学, 北京 100049

^c中国科学院上海应用物理研究所, 上海同步辐射光源, 上海 201204

摘要: 芳香胺类化合物及其衍生物是一类重要的有机合成中间体, 广泛应用于染料、农药、医药及其他精细化学品。目前催化芳香硝基化合物还原制备芳香胺的多相催化体系多使用含有 d₆–d₁₀ 贵金属以及高活性 Ni 的催化剂。为了提高反应的选择性, 金属纳米颗粒的尺寸控制、合金效应、金属载体强相互作用、溶剂以及添加剂的筛选等不同手段被采用。考虑到该类催化剂复杂的制备方法以及易氧化的性质, 近年来稳定高效的非贵金属体系的开发得到了广泛关注。

MoS₂ 是一类重要的高温加氢催化剂, 不饱和的 Mo 位点 (CUS Mo) 被认为在催化过程中起到了关键性作用。但是理论

与实践已经证明, 作为代表性的二维材料, MoS_2 的活性位点多集中于层状结构的边楞结构处. 占有最大暴露面积的基面结构因为稳定的 Mo-S 化学键组成在化学反应中多表现为催化惰性. 通过剥离的手段可以有效提升活性位点的数目, 但新增的活性位点仍然多集中于层状结构的边楞结构处而不是基面. 在 MoS_2 与 MoO_2 结构中 Mo-S 和 Mo-O 的键长不同, 同时 S 和 O 的配位形式也不同. 可以预见的是, 如果 MoS_2 结构中的一部分 S 元素被 O 元素取代, 在这样的材料中将会出现大量缺陷以及活性 CUS Mo 结构.

结合先前关于硫化钼材料的制备方法, 我们通过部分硫化还原 $[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{6-}$ 前驱物的方法制备了氧掺杂的 MoS_2 材料 (标记为 O-MoS_2). 通过 XRD, XPS, Raman 和 EDX 等表征发现, 不同的 Mo-O 结构存在于 O-MoS_2 材料中. HRTEM 表征结果显示, O-MoS_2 表面存在着丰富的结构缺陷. EXAFS 结果显示, O-MoS_2 材料中可能含有四配位以及五配位的 CUS Mo 结构. 以甲酸铵还原硝基苯为探针反应检测了 CUS Mo 结构. 发现 O-MoS_2 可以在水相条件下高效催化芳香硝基化合物还原生成相应的芳香胺, 且催化剂稳定, 可以多次循环使用. 结合对照不同催化剂的 NH_3 -TPD 数据以及 MoS_2 结构模型, 我们发现 O-MoS_2 材料中含有丰富的 CUS Mo 结构, 这些 CUS Mo 结构更多地存在于材料的基面而非边楞结构. 我们认为, 对于该类掺杂 MoS_2 材料的制备及结构表征将有利于拓宽 MoS_2 催化剂从高温气相反应到温和液相反应中的应用.

关键词: 二硫化钼; 不饱和 Mo 位点; 芳香硝基化合物; 转氢氢化; 甲酸盐; 多相催化

收稿日期: 2016-06-03. 接受日期: 2016-07-11. 出版日期: 2016-09-05.

*通讯联系人. 电话/传真: (0411)84379762; 电子信箱: wangfeng@dicp.ac.cn

基金来源: 国家自然科学基金 (21422308, 21403216, 21273231); 大连市优秀青年基金 (2014J11JH126).

本文的英文电子版由Elsevier出版社在ScienceDirect上出版(<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/18722067>).