available at www.sciencedirect.com

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chnjc

Review

A review of the interactions between ceria and H₂ and the applications to selective hydrogenation of alkynes

James Kammert[†], Jisue Moon[†], Zili Wu^{*}

Chemical Science Division and Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 September 2019

Accepted 21 October 2019

Published 5 June 2020

Keywords:

Ceria

Redox

Hydrogen

Hydrogenation

Hydride

Mechanism

ABSTRACT

Cerium oxide (ceria) has found a wide variety of applications in catalysis including as a catalyst, a modifier, or a support, largely thanks to its robust redox properties and versatile acid-base function. While it is often utilized for oxidation reactions, ceria has recently attracted intense research interest for its unusual ability to selectively hydrogenate alkynes to alkenes. The intriguing hydrogenation ability of ceria has sparked renewed research efforts to understand how pure ceria works as a hydrogenation catalyst. In this review, recent advances in both experimental and computational studies of ceria are summarized, focusing on the interaction of ceria with H₂ and in hydrogenation reactions. Significant insights from various studies including *in situ* spectroscopy/microscopy and theoretic modeling of ceria in hydrogen-involved reactions are discussed, which shed light on the origin of the hydrogenation ability of ceria and the reaction mechanisms involved in ceria-catalyzed alkyne hydrogenation. Ways to further improve both the mechanistic understanding and catalytic performance of ceria-based materials for hydrogenation reactions are proposed at the end in the summary and outlook section.

© 2020, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Cerium oxide (CeO₂, Ceria) finds many applications in catalysis as both a catalyst itself, and as a catalyst support. The first and the most well-known catalytic application of ceria is its use as an oxygen storage component in automotive three-way catalysts (TWC), which convert the main pollutants present in vehicle emissions (CO, NO and unburned hydrocarbons) into less harmful compounds [1]. Over the past few decades, ceria and ceria-based materials have found increasing applications in catalysis thanks to their unique structural and electronic properties. The interesting properties of ceria are associated with its ability to cycle between Ce(III) and Ce(IV) states upon interaction with reducing or oxidizing components in reacting mix-

tures, forming and annihilating oxygen vacancies in a stable fluorite-type structure [2]. Additionally, ceria is characterized by several types of surface and subsurface defects, which can be controlled by adjusting the surface morphology of the ceria itself, or doping the ceria with other promoters to form Ce³⁺ species that may form active sites for heterogeneous catalysis [3–7]. Ceria also has controllable acid-base properties in the form of both Lewis acid and base sites, depending on ceria morphology and pre-treatment procedures [3,8,9] and can efficiently catalyze various organic reactions in both gas phase and aqueous phase [3,10–13].

In addition to its redox and acid-base properties, ceria combined with metals has attracted increasing interest in heterogeneous catalysis for various hydrogenation reactions such as

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: wuz1@ornl.gov[†] Equal contribution.

H₂ generation [14–16], CO₂ hydrogenation [17–21], and the hydrogenation of different functional groups [22–27]. Recently, pure ceria demonstrated surprising catalytic ability in hydrogenation reactions [28–31], particularly the selective hydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes in both gas and liquid phases with high selectivity (80%–90%) [26,31,32]. Contrary to observed trends for many redox reactions catalyzed by ceria, the formation of surface oxygen vacancies prior to or during reaction was detrimental to the hydrogenation activity [26,31,32]. Other recent studies [29,30,33] showed that oxygen-vacancy-associated frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs) promoted the hydrogenation of alkynes over porous ceria rods. The hydrogenation ability of bare ceria, without any metallic promoter, has prompted a renewed strong interest in understanding how ceria dissociates H₂ and catalyzes selective hydrogenation, and has been studied using a number of experimental and computational approaches [22,23,25,33–38].

Many detailed reviews have been written that summarize aspects of ceria or ceria-based materials [3,4,8,30,39–52], and focus on topics such as redox properties, general oxidation catalysis, and emerging applications including photocatalysis and biomedical technology. There are very limited perspectives [30,31], however, on hydrogenation reactions catalyzed by ceria-based oxides, and we find no overview focused only on ceria-based materials for hydrogenation without the assistance of a metal component. Therefore, the goal of the present review is to survey the existing understanding of the specific properties of ceria associated with interaction with H₂ and under conditions used for hydrogenation reactions to provide an in-depth understanding of how ceria-based oxides catalyze hydrogenation reactions as well as redox reactions (since reduction of ceria is part of the redox process). We will focus on analyzing experimental and theoretical studies on (1) hydrogen interaction and (2) selective alkyne hydrogenation. Although the focal point is ceria, some relevant cases over doped ceria will also be reviewed. First, we will discuss the experimental aspect concerning the mechanistic understanding and catalytic properties of ceria in interacting with H₂ and selective alkyne hydrogenation. The reductive behaviors of CeO₂ by H₂ and the relation to hydrogenation reactions have been studied in great details by different techniques and the use of these approaches will be surveyed including Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, DRIFTS) [8,23,53], Raman spectroscopy [54], ¹⁷O-NMR [55], X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) [56,57], X-ray diffraction (XRD) [58], and neutron vibrational spectroscopy [38,53,59]. After discussing these experimental investigations of ceria, the representative theoretical studies will be reviewed to understand the H₂ dissociation and subsequent hydrogenation mechanisms over ceria at atomic scale. Finally, a summary with the outlook of ceria-based catalysts for hydrogenation reactions is presented.

2. Interaction of H₂ with ceria

2.1. Temperature-programmed reduction and desorption using H₂

One of the most straightforward experiments that can be performed to observe the interaction of H₂ with CeO₂ is to heat CeO₂ at a controlled rate under an atmosphere containing H₂. Despite the simplicity of the technique, careful analysis of products or consumption of reactants measured in the effluent using mass spectrometry or thermal conductivity can provide some insight into the dynamic processes that are occurring on the CeO₂ surface as well as in the bulk of the material. The so-called temperature-programmed reduction by H₂ (H₂-TPR) has become a ubiquitous technique used to characterize the surface and bulk properties of CeO₂ [2,25,60–68].

The characteristic H₂-TPR profile of CeO₂ generally consists of two main H₂ consumption features near 770 and 1100 K. Consumption of H₂ occurring around 770 K has been ascribed to the reduction of surface oxygen species (forming O vacancies), as the total consumption in this region is well-correlated with the surface area of polycrystalline CeO₂ samples [2]. Consumption of H₂ around 1100 K was ascribed to the reduction of bulk CeO₂, resulting from diffusion of O out of the CeO₂ bulk to the CeO₂ surface where it can react with adsorbed or gas phase H species. Johnson and Mooi proposed a relationship between the total H₂ consumption around 770 K and CeO₂ surface area in CeO₂ samples up to 27 m² g⁻¹ [60]. Perrichon et al. [61] and Bruce et al. [62] demonstrated that the total consumption of H₂ in this region remains well-correlated with the BET surface area of carbonate-free CeO₂ in samples up to 168 m² g⁻¹, although there exists some disagreement between these results and those reported by Johnson et al. [60]. Giordano et al. [63] developed a model to describe temperature-programmed consumption of H₂ by CeO₂ with initial surface area up to 44 m² g⁻¹, and concluded that the nuances of surface reduction kinetics were still not fully understood. Further consideration of the kinetics of morphological changes in the material (e.g., the sintering of high-surface-area particles) was also deemed critical to understanding the H₂-TPR behavior of CeO₂ [64]. As oxygen diffusion in the CeO₂ lattice is expected to be rapid under the conditions required for reduction, the role of different exposed surface planes of CeO₂ was expected to play a significant role in the reduction behavior in the lower-temperature reduction regime.

Indeed, exploration of the role of exposed surface facets using ceria nanoshapes of different morphologies revealed differences in reduction behavior [65–67]. Tana et al. [65] found highly faceted nanoparticles demonstrated a lower oxygen storage capacity than nanorods and nanowires as measured by oxygen titration after reduction. Reversible oxygen storage was attributed to preferential exposure of the (110) surface in rod- and wire-shaped nano-CeO₂ over the less reactive (111) surface exposed on the faceted nanoparticles. Liu et al. [66] observed that surface oxygen was removed by H₂ at lower temperatures as lattice strain was increased, and suggested that catalytic oxidation activity was associated with the reversible oxygen storage capacity of the materials. When Désauney et al. [67] synthesized highly controlled CeO₂ nanocubes, nanorods, and nanooctahedra, a significant difference was observed between the reducibility of the preferentially exposed surface planes. As shown in Fig. 1, the majority of H₂ consumption ob-

served in the H_2 -TPR profiles of CeO_2 nanocubes and nanooctahedra took place above 773 K, while H_2 consumption over the nanorods was split more evenly between temperature regimes around 700 and 950 K. Consumption of H_2 resulting from surface reduction occurred at lower temperatures over nanocubes and nanorods than over the nanooctahedra. Reported apparent activation barriers for surface reduction were 70, 130, and 150 kJ mol^{-1} over nanocubes, nanorods, and nanooctahedra, respectively, corroborating that the ease of reducibility of CeO_2 surface planes follows the trend $(100) > (110) > (111)$, in agreement with Tana et al.

Analysis of the desorption of H-containing species (using thermal conductivity) from polycrystalline CeO_2 after reduction above 773 K revealed that increasing the reduction temperature decreased the amount of adsorbed H_2 [69]. Below 773 K, analysis of the amount of reversibly adsorbed H_2 must be carried out using mass spectrometry, as surface oxygen was susceptible to reduction by the chemisorbed H-containing species, forming water. After reduction above 773 K, only H_2 desorption was observed by mass spectrometry, indicating results from thermal conductivity could be used for analysis. The total H_2 quantity adsorbed per nm^2 CeO_2 increased slightly up to reduc-

tion temperatures of 873 K and decreased as the reduction temperature was increased thereafter, even after consideration of particle sintering. These results suggested reduction of the CeO_2 surface was not critical for reversible H_2 adsorption to take place. More recent results, however, do indicate that H_2 can be observed in temperature-programmed experiments monitored by mass spectrometry [70,71]. This observed H_2 is believed to result from the desorption of H- species that are incorporated into the bulk of CeO_{2-x} , and is directly correlated to the presence of O vacancies, as discussed in the next sections.

2.2. Modification of the CeO_2 bulk by H_2 treatment

Consumption of H_2 observed in the H_2 -TPR of CeO_2 was generally attributed to the reduction of CeO_2 lattice oxygen as discussed above. Yet these studies have also given rise to some important questions: What is the effect of H_2 exposure on the bulk structure of CeO_2 ? Is there hydrogen incorporation into CeO_2 upon reduction with H_2 ? Whether H atoms enter the bulk or are merely chemisorbed on the surfaces of CeO_2 is the subject of some debate. Some characterization approaches, described below, suggest incorporation of hydrogen into the bulk

Fig. 1. Shape-controlled nano- CeO_2 TEM micrographs and corresponding TPR profiles and deconvolution associated with CeO_2 nanocubes (a), nanorods (b), and nanooctahedra (c). Adapted from Ref. [67] with permission from *J. Catal.*

of CeO₂ takes place upon high temperature H₂ treatment.

Investigation of CeO₂ during *in situ* reduction in H₂ using XRD found three zones of lattice behavior [72,73]. Below 600 K, little change in the CeO₂ lattice takes place. From around 600–800 K, a slight expansion in the lattice takes place, followed by a much larger expansion above 850 K. These lattice expansions are consistent with the typical H₂-TPR profiles indicating the observed phenomena are related to reduction of the CeO₂, which could be explained by expansion due to the formation of Ce³⁺ species in the reduced suboxide. It has also been argued that a contribution to this expansion could arise from the incorporation of H into the CeO₂ lattice, potentially in the form of a bronze of the form H_xCeO₂ (or non-stoichiometric cerium oxyhydride). The bronze explanation was originally proposed by Fierro et al. [74] and Cunningham et al. [75] on the basis of ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) and electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopies. Fierro et al. [74] observed the incorporation of H into the CeO₂ sample by ¹H-NMR concurrent with the obliteration of bulk ESR signals, which suggested H diffusion into the bulk of the CeO₂ during H₂ treatment up to 673 K. A study by Bekheet et al. [58] found no evidence for incorporation of H into the CeO₂ lattice by ¹H NMR. Matsukawa et al. [76], however, observed the formation of a blue color in a CeO₂ pellet, behavior typical of bronze formation, after brief exposure (30 min) to H₂ at 1073 K in the absence of significant reduction. The formation of the aforementioned blue phase was also accompanied by an expansion of the CeO₂ lattice observed using XRD, consistent with the formation of a H_xCeO₂ bronze phase ($x = 0.04$ or 0.06). Extended exposure of the sample to the reducing conditions resulted in reduction of the blue proposed bronze to a white CeO_{2-x} suboxide. The role of treatment time in the transient formation of H_xCeO₂ bronzes has not been extensively studied and may explain the aforementioned contradictory NMR results. Bulk and surface hydride species (characterized by vibrational modes from 700–1100 and 400–600 cm⁻¹, respectively) were also observed by Wu et al. [38] after partial reduction of CeO₂ nanorods by H₂ exposure using inelastic neutron scattering (INS) spectroscopy. This technique is extremely sensitive to hydrogen-containing species, and suggests that hydride species can exist both on the surface and in the bulk of reduced CeO_x. These results agree with a study that observed desorption of H₂ from CeO₂ attributed to H⁻ incorporated into bulk and surface O vacancy sites [70]. The existence of H-containing species in the CeO₂ lattice under catalytic conditions, however, remains up for debate.

Study of the CeO₂ surface using high-resolution and environmental transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has provided insight into the relationship between H₂ treatment and CeO₂ surface restructuring [59,77–80]. Oxygen atoms on a CeO₂ (111) surface remained stable under an electron beam [79], while oxygen atoms on the CeO₂ (100) surface were highly mobile under vacuum conditions (5×10^{-6} mbar, CeO₂ nanocubes) [59,79]. Mobility in the (110) surface of the CeO₂ nanocubes was significantly reduced under O₂ and CO₂ environments (5×10^{-2} , 2.6×10^{-2} mbar, respectively) [59,79]. When the nanocubes were exposed to oxidizing conditions, the

CeO₂ nanocube (110) surfaces restructured to form sawtooth CeO₂ (111) nanofacets [77,80]. Annealing in H₂ *ex situ* above 773 K prior to observation using TEM resulted in reconstruction of the CeO₂ (110) surface to form larger (111) sawtooth nanofacets [80]. Observation of the reconstruction under environmental TEM conditions (0.7 mbar, up to 1003 K) (Fig. 2), however, revealed that H₂ treatment of the (110) surface results in the smoothing of these (111) nanofacets to form a continuous (110) surface, and suggests that this reconstruction is only stable under reducing atmosphere [77]. Further investigation using the same instrument also revealed a reversible partial phase transformation of CeO₂ from the fluorite structure to a C-type Ce₂O₃ structure above 966 K in 0.7 mbar H₂ [78]. The phase transformation was completely reversed after cooling below 966 K, and reoxidation of Ce³⁺ to Ce⁴⁺ was observed, despite cooling in an H₂ environment. Whether this transformation is associated with H incorporation remains unclear.

2.3. Characterization of hydrogen species by infrared and Raman spectroscopy

A better understanding of the interaction between H₂ and CeO₂ can be achieved using spectroscopic techniques to identify the types of H-containing species on the CeO₂ surface after H₂ exposure. Not all spectroscopic techniques, however, are equal in their ability to detect these H-containing species. While infrared (IR) and Raman spectroscopy have been used to charac-

Fig. 2. Environmental transmission electron micrographs of a CeO₂(110) surface in 0.7 mbar H₂ at 543 K (a), after heating to 1003 K (b), and during cooling at 873 K (c). The observed CeO₂(111) nanofacets (a) restructured to form a more continuous CeO₂(110) surface (b, c) after treatment at 1003 K in the H₂ atmosphere. Adapted from Ref. [77] with permission from Ultramicroscopy.

terize adsorbed OH species, thus far only more H-sensitive techniques such as ^1H -NMR and INS have been used successfully to detect small quantities of H in the CeO_2 bulk or Ce-H surface species as discussed earlier.

Infrared spectroscopy has been extensively used to characterize CeO_2 surfaces and their modification after exposure to H_2 or D_2 [8,22,26,38,47,48,81–88]. Studies by Lamotte et al. [81] and Binet et al. [82] supported the hypothesis that the coordination of methoxy and OH species occurred via one- (I), two- (II), or three-fold (III) binding through a single oxygen to surface cerium atoms [81,82]. The appearance of these spectra is shown in Fig. 3.

Binet et al. [82] observed that after a 673 K pretreatment in O_2 followed by outgassing of CeO_2 with a surface area of $78 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, a mixture of $\nu(\text{OC})$ bands consistent with mono- ($\sim 1120 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and bidentate ($\sim 1060 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) methoxy species were formed after room-temperature adsorption of methanol, and very little contribution was observed from the tri-dentate species ($\sim 1010 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) [8,82]. A shoulder peak in the bidentate region (II', $\sim 1040 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) was assigned to adsorption of the probe molecule near coordinatively unsaturated Ce^{4+} species. As the CeO_2 samples were reduced in H_2 at increasing temperature and subsequently exposed to methanol, the monodentate methoxy species were no longer observed, and a new species was observed at slightly higher wavenumber than the type II bidentate methoxy on O_2 -treated CeO_2 . This new species was also assigned to a bidentate configuration (II*, $\sim 1080 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), believed to be adsorbed on or near reduced surface sites containing Ce^{3+} (consistent with the formation of surface O vacancies), and became the dominant methoxy species observed after H_2 treatment at temperatures as low as 673 K, consistent with complete surface reduction [83]. An electronic transition, possibly the forbidden $^2\text{F}_{5/2} \rightarrow ^2\text{F}_{7/2}$ transition (proposed to no longer be forbidden due to a crystal field effect) or other transition related to Ce^{3+} , was also assigned to a feature observed at $\sim 2120 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [82].

When CeO_2 with a surface area of $120 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ was subjected to a similar treatment, analogous behavior was observed for

surface OH species [8,82,84]. After initial oxygen treatment at 673 K, however, $\nu(\text{OH})$ bands assigned to bidentate (II-A $\sim 3635 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, II-B $\sim 3654 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ analogous to the methoxy II and II' bands) and hydrogen-bonded (broad, $\sim 3500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) hydroxyl species were primarily observed, while monodentate species (I, $\sim 3710 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and tridentate (III, $\sim 3585 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) were less evident [82]. Treatment in O_2 at 873 K was able to remove nearly all the OH species from the CeO_2 surface [8]. Treatment in H_2 at 673 K produced two distinct bidentate species (II*-A, $\sim 3680 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, II*-B, $\sim 3650 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), analogous to methoxy species II* observed after similar treatment over the lower-surface-area CeO_2 , and a broad OH stretching feature assigned to hydrogen bonding of residual OH species. Similar distributions of OH species have been observed using Raman spectroscopy, absent from any broad band at $\sim 3520 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ associated with H-bonded OH species [38]. When H_2 exposure was performed on CeO_2 pretreated in O_2 at 673 K using small doses of H_2 instead of separate heat treatments, the initially visible II-B $\nu(\text{OH})$ band disappeared while the II-A band remained and shifted to higher wavenumber, indicating II-B OH species were more reactive with H_2 than II-A species [84]. The formation of the II*-B OH species was evidently reversible as evacuation at 673 K after H_2 treatment resulted in the disappearance of the II*-B OH species, and subsequent treatment in H_2 caused the species to return.

Adsorption of a range of acidic and basic molecules, summarized by Binet et al. [8], revealed weakly acidic and strongly basic sites on CeO_2 in both its oxidized and reduced state. Results from adsorption of CO_2 , dimethyl ether, and pyridine indicated very little difference in acidity resulting from reduction of CeO_2 , and peak shifts from pyridine adsorption indicated weaker acid site strength on CeO_2 than ZrO_2 or TiO_2 . Similar conclusions could be drawn from adsorption of CO and acetonitrile, which revealed weaker Lewis acidity on the reduced CeO_2 surface [9]. On the other hand, quantification of the base site strength remains a challenge. Adsorption of a proton donor, pyrrole, resulted in dissociation of the probe molecule and could not be used to quantify the base strength of the CeO_2 surface [88]. Low-temperature adsorption of a weaker

Fig. 3. (A) Spectral features in the $\nu(\text{OC})$ region associated with methanol adsorption on CeO_2 after H_2 treatment at 573 (a), 623 (b), 673 (c), and 773 K (d). (B) Spectral features in the $\nu(\text{OH})$ region after O_2 treatment at 873 K (a), first introduction of 13 kPa H_2 at 573 K (b), second introduction of 13 kPa H_2 at 573 K (c), third introduction of 13 kPa H_2 at 573 K (d). (B) Inset: Spectral features in the $\nu(\text{OH})$ region after three treatments in 13 kPa H_2 at 573 (a), 623 (b), 673 (c), and 773 K (d). Adapted from Ref. [8] with permission from Catal. Today.

H-donor, methane, was employed to probe the CeO₂ surface, revealing coordinatively saturated and unsaturated O²⁻ surface species but little about the strength of base sites present on the surface [89]. The adsorption of CO₂, meanwhile, is complex, and while a large amount of CO₂ adsorption occurs, it is difficult to clearly identify and assign individual CO₂ adsorption bands without computational support, especially in polycrystalline samples [8,90]. TPD of CO₂ from shape-controlled nano-CeO₂, however, indicated that nanorods (dominated by (110) and (100) surfaces) contained the highest density of basic sites, followed by nanocubes (predominantly (100) surfaces), then nanooctahedra (predominantly (111) surfaces) [9]. The nanoshape surfaces were also probed by CHCl₃ and CD₃CN adsorption, indicating a similar trend in base site strength.

Raman spectroscopy has also been used to characterize oxygen defect sites on similar shape-controlled CeO₂ nanoparticles via the presence of different adsorbed O₂ species (super-oxide and peroxide) after reduction using H₂, which correspond to different types of oxygen vacancies [91]. Reduction at 673 K results in the formation of both one- and two-electron defects on ceria nanorods (dominated by (110) and (100) surfaces), while the same treatment produces isolated or a mixture of isolated and clustered two-electron defects on CeO₂ nanooctahedra (dominated by (111) surfaces) and nanocubes (dominated by (100) surfaces), respectively. Adsorption of methoxy species on shape-controlled nano-CeO₂ at room temperature found predominantly type I methoxy species on nanorods, while nanocubes contained a majority of a mixture of type I and type II', and nanooctahedra contained very few adsorbed methoxy species (only type I species were detected) [92]. To date, no comparative study exists to demonstrate the difference between OH or methoxy species formed on oxidized and reduced shape-controlled nano-CeO₂.

2.4. H-D exchange

The use of H-D exchange has revealed the ability of CeO₂ (and particularly, CeO₂ pre-treated in H₂) to exchange H and D at modest temperatures [22,84,86,87]. When Badri et al. [84] adsorbed D₂ on CeO₂ treated at 673 K in O₂, the formation of $\nu(\text{OD})$ bands did not result in any concurrent decrease in observed $\nu(\text{OH})$ band intensity until 473 K, indicating that H-D exchange on oxidized CeO₂ did not occur at an appreciable rate until above 423 K. Instead, surface OD species appeared to form in addition to the already present OH species, and converted some of the existing type II-B OH species to type I. The new type II OD species were assigned to type II-A OD species. Further investigation by Werner et al. [22] also found that D₂ dissociation could take place on both stoichiometric CeO₂ and partially reduced CeO_x thin films at pressures above the mbar regime.

When Martin et al. [87] measured the rate of H-D exchange using a temperature-programmed isotopic exchange (TPIE) technique, exchange of H and D reached a maximum rate at 433 K over oxidized CeO₂, but when the CeO₂ was treated in H₂ prior to the TPIE, the exchange reached a maximum rate at ~373 K. The apparent activation energies for H-D exchange over the

O₂-treated and H₂-treated (723 K) CeO₂ samples were 59 and 26 kJ mol⁻¹ respectively. Evidently the H₂ pretreatment produced a group of more reactive H-containing species on the reduced CeO₂ surface, which caused H-D exchange to take place more rapidly than exchange over other oxides such as ZrO₂ or MgO, and doubled the total quantity of exchanged H. Schimming et al. [86] also measured the apparent activation energy of H-D exchange over CeO₂ pretreated at 723 K in H₂, and found a value of 22 kJ mol⁻¹ in agreement with Martin et al. [87]. When the exchange was carried out at 423 K, IR results indicated that type I OH species decreased as type I and II OD species appeared, suggesting exchange of D₂ with type I OH species prior to type II-B OH species. In contrast, Badri et al. [83] observed the formation of type I OH species during their H-D exchange experiments. As Schimming et al. [86] studied the exchange after H₂ pretreatment, while Badri et al. [83] studied the exchange after O₂ pretreatment, it stands to reason that type I OH species are more readily exchanged when the CeO₂ surface is saturated with H-containing species after H₂ pretreatment (including reduction). The H-D exchange measured by Schimming et al. [86] at 623 K was less selective to type I OH species, and instead exchange occurred indiscriminately on all site types.

2.5. Studies using ceria thin films

While many studies have focused on the interaction of bulk or polycrystalline CeO₂ with H₂, studies performed under ultra-high vacuum (UHV) offer the possibility of a highly controlled environment to investigate the interaction of H₂ with a well-defined CeO₂ surface. Advances in the growth of bulk-like, ordered CeO₂(111) films with low O-defect contents recently led to studies of pristine and oxygen-deficient CeO₂(111) surfaces after exposure to molecular and atomic hydrogen [93–95]. Investigation of the behavior of CeO₂ under UHV conditions has generated insights into the chemical state and structure of CeO₂(111) surfaces after controlled exposure to these H-containing environments.

Interaction of surface OD species on CeO₂(111) surfaces with atomic D was observed to give rise to D₂O and oxygen vacancies between 150–250 K, resulting in a partial reduction of the surface [96]. As the defect density increased to a critical level, D₂O formation was suppressed, at which point D₂ evolution become the more dominant pathway (at ~200–350 K) for elimination of D from the CeO₂ surface. This behavior may be analogous to the observation of reversible H₂ adsorption on CeO_{2-x} after H₂ pretreatment of a CeO₂ sample [69], and similarly, to the observation of H₂ evolution behavior correlated to O vacancy density in shape-controlled CeO₂ nanoparticles [71]. When the pristine CeO₂(111) surface was exposed to molecular H₂ at room temperature, no reaction was observed, while exposure to atomic H resulted in a mixture of hydroxyl species and oxygen defects [57]. As should be expected, this result implies that the rate of dissociation of H₂ on CeO₂(111) is negligible under the UHV conditions studied. Later study demonstrated that dissociative adsorption of molecular H₂ could not be observed below pressures of 10 mbar [22].

Studies using XPS to probe the Ce 3d photoemission demonstrated that either the formation of oxygen vacancies or the formation of hydroxyl species can give rise to Ce³⁺ species in the lattice. On reduced CeO₂(111), D₂O could also be irreversibly chemisorbed, causing OD groups to fill anion vacancy sites on the defected surface [96]. Investigation of well-defined CeO₂(111) films under vacuum conditions using nuclear reaction analysis (¹⁵N + ¹H → ¹²C + α + γ) indicated that thermally reduced, O-defective CeO₂(111) incorporated H species into the bulk of the film after exposure to 10 mbar H₂ at 300 K, while pristine CeO₂ films stabilized H-containing species only at the film surface after the same exposure (Fig. 4) [22]. These results are consistent with those observed using inelastic neutron scattering spectroscopy, which indicated the formation of bulk Ce-H species after treatment of CeO₂ at 673 K [38], at which temperature surface reduction (i.e. the formation of vacancies) would be expected. A recent study of H₂ adsorption on pre-reduced ceria (both thin film and nanoparticles) using XPS, electron energy-loss spectroscopy, and ESR suggested that H-containing species exist in Ce⁴⁺-H⁺ pairs, where H⁺ is stabilized in an O vacancy site, resulting in the re-oxidation of Ce³⁺ species and reforming the atomic structure of the stoichiometric CeO₂ [70].

Conclusions from these studies can be cautiously applied to systems utilizing realistic reaction pressures and treatments. Studies have utilized XPS [22,57] to determine the oxidation state of H₂-treated CeO₂ particles or surfaces, but care must be taken interpreting these results as partially reduced CeO₂ is sensitive to O₂ exposure, even at low O₂ pressure and typical room temperatures. Furthermore, H₂ treatments performed in UHV systems are often performed at significantly lower pressures than those found in reactors or IR systems, the so-called “pressure gap”. As demonstrated by Werner et al. [22], D₂ does not dissociate on CeO₂(111) until pressures well above those typically used in UHV systems, so care must be taken to reproduce experimental work in such controlled environments and

the emerging ambient pressure XPS technique may be employed to address this pressure gap [38].

2.6. Selective hydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes

Hydrogenation of unsaturated C=C and C≡C bonds is well-known to take place over a variety of metal surfaces, especially precious metals such as Pd, which can easily adsorb dissociated H species. Exploration of the ability of metal oxides to perform hydrogenation reactions, however, resulted in the discovery of the ability of CeO₂ to hydrogenate unsaturated C≡C bonds [26]. This unexpected result, and the resulting highly selective hydrogenation behavior, have added significant value to understanding the interaction of H₂ with CeO₂ surfaces and bulk.

Vilé et al. initially reported very high selectivity for the gas-phase hydrogenation of propyne to propene (91% selectivity at 96% conversion) and ethyne to ethene (81% selectivity at 86% conversion) at 523 K in an excess of H₂ (30:1 H₂:alkyne ratio) without significant conversion of the alkene product to alkane. It was noted, however, that increasing the reaction temperature above 523 K resulted in a sharp increase in isomerization products, and increased oligomerization products were observed as catalyst contact times were increased. In contrast to the results from H-D exchange over CeO₂ reported previously, the hydrogenation activity of CeO₂ decreased as the temperature of H₂ pretreatment increased [25,26]. This result suggests that oxygen vacancies are not favored for hydrogenation over ceria. It remains unclear if the exchangeable H-containing species on the reduced ceria after high-temperature H₂ treatment can be utilized for the selective hydrogenation reaction.

A study of the hydrogenation of a wider range of acetylenic compounds found that CeO₂ supported on TiO₂ is selective for the hydrogenation of the completely unsaturated C≡C bond in the presence of functional groups including olefinic bonds,

Fig. 4. Nuclear reaction analysis depth profiling reveals no evidence of subsurface H species in (a) a stoichiometric CeO₂(111) film, but reveals (b) the presence of subsurface H species in a CeO₂(111) film pre-treated in 10 mbar H₂ at 300 K. Solid and dotted lines represent trends in the results. Adapted with permission from Ref. [22]. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

benzene rings, alcohols, and sulfonates [32]. Results from microcalorimetry of 1-hexyne adsorption on 20% CeO₂ supported on TiO₂ indicated a very large initial heat of adsorption of 900 kJ mol⁻¹, which was ascribed to multiple dehydrogenation steps during adsorption of the 1-hexyne on the clean CeO₂ surface. At higher coverage, the measured adsorption energy was ~200 kJ mol⁻¹, more consistent with a single dehydrogenation step upon chemisorption. Studies using IR spectroscopy have demonstrated the presence of acetylide species on the CeO₂ surface under reaction conditions [23,26], but the relevance of these species to catalysis is not consistent with comparable activity of CeO₂ for the hydrogenation of internal C≡C bonds. Instead, a proposed mechanism for hydrogenation involves π - or di- σ -bonding of the associatively adsorbed C≡C to the active site, where the resulting adsorbate can be easily hydrogenated [23].

The gas phase (but not liquid phase) hydrogenation rate of acetylenic compounds over these supported CeO₂ catalysts was fairly independent of CeO₂ dispersion, proposed to result from an increase in catalytically inactive surface O-defects (measured using XPS) as the dispersion was increased. Investigation of oriented CeO₂ surfaces for acetylene hydrogenation revealed that the (111) surface of octahedron-like nanoparticles were more active for ethylene hydrogenation at 473 K than nanocubes containing (100) surfaces, which are known to contain higher proportions of O-defected sites [97]. Aging the CeO₂ nanoparticles to produce more (110) surfaces only served to decrease the observed acetylene hydrogenation activity, and was consistent with an inverse relationship between hydrogenation rate and oxygen defect density on the surface of the catalyst (Fig. 5). While the presence of subsurface H has been reported to play a role in hydrogenation over noble metals in the past [98], the role of subsurface H species associated with O-defects in ceria remains to be revealed in the hydrogenation reactions.

Titania-supported ceria is very stereo-selective to *cis*- isomers of internally unsaturated products (e.g., the hydrogenation of 2-pentyne produced 93% *cis*-2-pentene at 100% conversion) during the hydrogenation of acetylenic compounds

Fig. 5. Rate of ethylene formation during ethyne hydrogenation as a function of oxygen storage capacity (OSC) over fresh and aged ceria octahedron-like nanoparticles at 473 K, indicating an inverse relationship between OSC (proportional to oxygen vacancy density) and hydrogenation rate. Adapted from Ref. [97], with permission from *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*

[32]. This behavior, and the observation of a parahydrogen-induced polarization (PHIP) effect in the hydrogenation products of alkynes over CeO₂, raises the question of a pairwise H₂-addition mechanism during hydrogenation by oxides [99]. Study of the PHIP effect in hydrogenation reactions over controlled CeO₂ nanoshapes reveals that pairwise addition of H₂ to propylene over CeO₂ is a thermally activated effect, which begins to take place at temperatures above 573 K independent of the CeO₂ facets exposed during hydrogenation of propene [100]. During the hydrogenation of propyne over CeO₂, a significant dependence of the hydrogenation rate on the CeO₂ nanoshape (resulting from variation in the exposed surface) was observed (Fig. 6). Nanorods dominated by (110) and (001) surfaces demonstrated a higher pairwise addition selectivity than nanooctahedra dominated by (111) surfaces, which are also known to be the more active surface for the hydrogenation reaction [101]. Nanocubes dominated by (001) surfaces demonstrated a pairwise addition selectivity that was similar to the nanooctahedra, but were less active for the hydrogenation of propylene. This result suggests that the mechanism for H₂ addition is dependent on the exposed surface and is expected to be dominated by a non-pairwise H-addition mechanism over CeO₂ (111) under conditions required to produce high selectivity to the unsaturated hydrogenation product.

Understanding hydrogenation of unsaturated C-C bonds over CeO₂ might provide insights leading to improved selective hydrogenation catalysts. Some studies have suggested the role

Fig. 6. Rate of propylene formation (A), Selectivity towards propadiene vs. propene (B), and ALTADENA NMR signal enhancement (proportional to pairwise selectivity) (C) during propyne hydrogenation over CeO₂ nanoshapes. Adapted from Ref. [101] with permission from *ChemCatChem*.

of so-called “frustrated-Lewis-pairs” (FLPs) consisting of adjacent Ce^{3+} surface species, which lead to a very low barrier for H_2 dissociation and potentially hydrogenation activity [29,33]. Other studies indicate hydrogenation rates over CeO_2 can be improved by doping other metals into the CeO_2 surface, perhaps modifying these FLPs. Recent studies suggest the potential to improve on hydrogenation activity by doping CeO_2 with Ga to create more hydride species during the dissociation of H_2 [85,102], while others have directly demonstrated improved hydrogenation rates can be achieved with Ni-doped CeO_2 [27]. Further understanding of how these modifications drive faster hydrogenation over CeO_2 might be applied to produce even greater CeO_2 -based selective hydrogenation catalysts in the future.

3. Computational studies

As discussed earlier, ceria itself has demonstrated superior selectivity for selective alkyne hydrogenation. *In situ* techniques (XPS, XAS, DRIFTS, STM) can be combined to obtain fundamental information about ceria-based catalysts under controlled and reaction conditions. However, the hydrogenation mechanism including the dissociation of H_2 and the reaction pathway is still incomplete. In this part, we are going to focus on computational modeling which can give an alternative view of the chemistry taking place over ceria surface and offer inaccessible information on the atomic scale starting from reviewing H_2 interaction, and then the alkyne hydrogenation reactions.

3.1. Hydrogen interaction on ceria surface

Understanding the interaction of hydrogen with ceria is important to develop further insights into different types of hydrogenation reactions. Two H_2 dissociation mechanisms over CeO_2 have been proposed from DFT calculations: homolytic and heterolytic cleavage. Homolytic dissociation of H_2

produces two O-H groups on the surface by binding with two surface oxygen sites, while heterolytic cleavage produces one Ce-H and one O-H species [103]. So far, hydrogen dissociation mechanisms have been proposed based on experimental evidence from infrared spectra that showed O-H and M-H bands for heterolytic and O-H bands for the homolytic cleavage. Hence, heterolytic cleavage has been believed to occur on non-reducible oxide such as MgO [104] or $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ [105], while homolytic cleavage has been occurred for reducible oxides such as TiO_2 [106] or CeO_2 [8,36]. However, García-Melchor et al. [36] demonstrated that polar M-O bond from (111) CeO_2 surface can also cause heterolytic dissociation through DFT calculation using PBE+U method. As shown in Fig. 7, The homolytic product is thermodynamically stable but heterolytic products are kinetically easier to access. Moreover, the resulting hydride species from heterolytic cleavage can transfer and finally yields the homolytic products. This DFT prediction was later experimentally demonstrated by neutron spectroscopy that hydroxyl groups were observed on oxidized ceria while cerium hydride was present only after oxygen vacancies were created [38].

Through many studies aimed to understand the H-ceria interaction over a stoichiometric (111) surface, experimental studies suggested that oxygen vacancies play a pivotal role in the reactivity of hydroxyls [96]. Lu et al. [107] and Watkins et al. [108] adapted the surface including oxygen vacancies to investigate the surface reaction with H including H diffusion and H_2O formation. Lu et al. [107] demonstrated that H coupling, surface diffusion and bulk diffusion of H were all promoted at the reduced surface, while H_2O formation was less affected by oxygen vacancies by using on-site Coulomb interaction (DFT+U). On the other hand, Watkins et al. [108] adapted GGA and GGA+U function that incorporated with a local on-site Coulomb repulsion term to cover the questions that whether individual Ce^{4+} ions are reduced or whether electrons are delocalized over many metal ions. This study demonstrated a strongly exothermic character of H_2 chemisorption on the stoichiometric (111) surface and rapid water dissociation were

Fig. 7. Calculated energy profiles for the homolytic (in red) and heterolytic (in blue) reaction pathways in the H_2 dissociation on CeO_2 (111). The transition state linking the heterolytic and homolytic products is shown in black. Reprinted from Ref. [36]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 8. Energy profiles for the heterolytic H_2 dissociation pathways on the (100), (110), and (111) surfaces. Reprinted from Ref. [109]. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

occurred at vacancy sites compared with defect-free ceria surface.

As we mentioned earlier, not only oxygen vacancies but also different morphologies and crystal planes play an important role in their catalytic reactivity and selectivity. It is noted, however, that extensive modeling studies were focused on (111) facet due to its lowest surface energy and stable structure. Recently, Zhang et al. [109] studied the formation of oxygen vacancies via hydrogen reduction on (111), (110), (100) surfaces to reveal the effect of crystal-planes (Fig. 8). The heterolytic dissociation of H_2 was favored over the homolytic dissociation on each surface and, more importantly, (100) surface showed the best performance for H_2 activation. In addition, the role of frustrated Lewis pair over (110) and (100) plane were proposed by the same group regulating the oxygen vacancies [29]. When the oxygen vacancies were created on CeO_2 (110) and (100), two adjacent surface Ce cations proximal to the oxygen vacancy and one neighboring oxygen anion build the FLP sites. The heterolytic cleavage of H_2 is accelerated at FLP sites with a decrease of the activation barrier on CeO_2 (110) and (100) surface, which is attributed to the elongated distance and enhanced acidity and basicity of the Lewis pairs. At the FLP sites, H resource for hydrogenation could originate from hydride at the Ce site which is more active than a proton anchored on an oxygen atom on the surface.

3.2. Mechanism of selective alkyne hydrogenation over ceria

A substantial number of DFT studies have been devoted to investigating reaction intermediates and mechanism of alkyne hydrogenation using different approaches and methods. Carrasco et al. [25] studied the acetylene hydrogenation mechanism over CeO_2 (111) with a DFT+U approach. The proposed mechanism was that acetylene adsorption leads to highly active $\beta-C_2H_2$ radical species that are hydrogenated to form C_2H_3 with a low energy barrier leading to superior performance for acetylene hydrogenation. Furthermore, the total hydrogenation over CeO_2 (111) from C_2H_5 required a large activation energy barrier which suggests total hydrogenation is an unfavorable reaction. In this study, however, the hydrogen dissociation step

was proposed as the rate-limiting step. The intermediate species ($\beta-C_2H_2$) formation step is about 4 eV below than the reactant energy and the energy barrier for the final ethylene formation step is about 3 eV, which is kinetically infeasible.

An alternative mechanism, which involved concerted reaction between co-adsorbed H_2 and alkyne, was suggested by García-Melchor et al. [36]. This mechanism involved an adsorbed H atom as a hydrogen source for hydrogenation and suggested the formation of oligomers was prevented by restricting the adsorption and diffusion of alkyne molecules over the fully hydroxylated or reduced surface. It was noted, however, that this mechanism also involves a high barrier (~ 2 eV) and carries a heavy entropic penalty because of the weak adsorption energy of both alkyne and hydrogen species on the surface.

It is noteworthy that the experimental results indicate that the (111) face of CeO_2 is more active than the (110) and (100) faces for acetylene hydrogenation, but there is very little evidence presented to support the importance of oxygen vacancies on the ceria surface in the hydrogenation reaction. Recently, a hydrogenation mechanism over oxygen vacancies of CeO_2 (111) surface was suggested by Riley et al. [27]. The proposed mechanism involves the heterolytic dissociation of molecular hydrogen at oxygen vacancies. This causes the exposure of Ce sites followed by Ce-H formation on the surface. The hydride formation prevents the formation of over-stabilized $\beta-C_2H_3$ species, and the oxygen vacancy attributes to a decrease in the large kinetic barrier associated with its hydrogenation on the oxygen sites.

Given experimental results and the large formation energy for oxygen vacancy on stoichiometric CeO_2 (111) surface (2.57 eV), the oxygen vacancies are almost certainly involved in hydrogenation, but it is hard to generate oxygen vacancies on a defect-free ceria surface model. A great deal of work has been devoted to examining how the reactivity can be improved by enhancing oxygen vacancy formation. As a result, earlier theoretical works from Nolan et al. [110] and Watson et al. [111]

Fig. 9. Calculated energy profiles of C_2H_2 hydrogenation on $CeO_2(111)-Ov$ and $Ni@CeO_2(111)-Ov$ with key species illustrated. The results on $CeO_2(111)$ calculated by Carrasco et al. [25] are also included for comparison. i, H_2^* ii, $TS1$ iii, $2H^*$ iv, $C_2H_2^*+2H^*$ v, $TS2$ vi, $C_2H_3^*+H^*$ vii, $TS3$ viii, $C_2H_4^*$ (energy in the work of Carrasco et al. is for desorbed C_2H_2) here * denotes the adsorption state. Reprinted from Ref. [27]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

have suggested the doping of divalent ions (e.g. Ni, Cu, Pt, Pd, and Zn) helps to form oxygen vacancies over ceria (111) and (110) surface. The work from Riley et al. [27] also studied the mechanism of acetylene hydrogenation over Ni-doped ceria. It was demonstrated that Ni doping lowered the activation energy barrier of the overall reaction. The doping of divalent metal plays the role of enhancing the formation of oxygen vacancies on ceria surface. It is notable that the Ni dopant was not suggested to directly participate in the hydrogenation reaction but instead to create O-vacancies. In addition to Ni-doped ceria, DFT study for hydrogenation of acetylene over Ga-doped CeO_2 was conducted by Guo et al. [34]. The Ga dopant was shown to promote the formation of surface oxygen vacancies, which create the frustrated Lewis pairs and accelerate heterolytic H_2 cleavage. However, the energy barrier of rate-limiting step in Ga-doped CeO_2 with higher Ga concentration was 0.21 eV lower than undoped CeO_2 (111), which suggests that Ga is not only working as a promoter to form oxygen vacancy, but also participating in the hydrogenation reaction with the formed Ga-H species.

4. Summary and outlook

Ceria-based materials are among the most interesting and widely investigated catalysts, which can be attributed to their excellent redox behavior as well as their versatile acid-base properties. This review surveyed specifically the recently discovered hydrogenation performance of ceria and the funda-

mental understanding of this unusual property of ceria through both experimental and computational studies. Regarding H_2 adsorption and activation, it is becoming clear that H_2 can dissociate over ceria surface to yield either homolytic (two OHs) or heterolytic products (one OH and one Ce-H) depending on the density of surface O-vacancies. Although the heterolytic dissociation mechanism has a lower activation barrier, the homolytic products are more thermodynamically stable and thus found on stoichiometric ceria surfaces. When used to catalyze alkyne hydrogenation, the reaction was proposed generally limited by the H_2 activation step while the subsequent hydrogenation of adsorbed alkyne species is facile by either the hydride or the hydroxyl species over ceria. As to the role of surface O-vacancies on ceria for the hydrogenation of alkynes, opposing views remain, and more recent computational work supports the active role of surface O-vacancies in activating H_2 and facilitating the hydrogenation pathways. It is expected that continued efforts are needed to further elucidate the reaction mechanisms of selective hydrogenation over ceria and the catalytic role of different hydrogen species as well as O-vacancies.

Despite the interesting hydrogenation performance of ceria, its reaction rate is fairly low, and the reaction temperature is still much higher (> 100 K) than that of typical metal catalysts. To improve the activity and lower the reaction temperature for hydrogenation reactions, further tuning of ceria is certainly needed. Lessons can be learned from the vast number of studies controlling the redox and acid-base properties of ceria as well as the recent investigations of hydrogenation reactions. It

Graphical Abstract

Chin. J. Catal., 2020, 41: 901–914 doi: S1872-2067(19)63509-6

A review of the interactions between ceria and H_2 and the applications to selective hydrogenation of alkynes

James Kammert, Jisue Moon, Zili Wu *
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA

The work overviewed the recently discovered hydrogenation performance of ceria and the fundamental understanding of this unusual property of ceria through both experimental and computational studies on the mechanisms of hydrogen dissociation and alkyne hydrogenation mechanisms.

is evident that doping of ceria, a large body of work has been done in the past to improve the redox property, is one promising route to enhance the hydrogenation activity of ceria at lower temperatures. The FLPs concept introduced recently in ceria catalysis is certainly interesting and further modification and construction of different types of FLPs via dopants and surface modifications (hybrid systems for example) is expected to lead to more facile H₂ dissociation and hydrogenation steps over ceria. Experimental studies, especially operando studies, and theoretical modeling are paramount for mechanistic understanding of how these modifications of ceria can lead to facile hydrogenation under milder conditions such that practical ceria-based oxides may be designed for hydrogenation catalysis without the use of noble metals.

Notice

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>).

Acknowledgments

This research was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Science, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division, Catalysis Science program.

References

- [1] J. Kašpar, P. Fornasiero, N. Hickey, *Catal. Today*, **2003**, 77, 419–449.
- [2] H. C. Yao, Y. F. Yu Yao, *J. Catal.*, **1984**, 86, 254–265.
- [3] L. Vivier, D. Duprez, *ChemSusChem*, **2010**, 3, 654–678.
- [4] J. Paier, C. Penschke, J. Sauer, *Chem. Rev.*, **2013**, 113, 3949–3985.
- [5] R. Juárez, P. Concepción, A. Corma, H. García, *Chem. Commun.*, **2010**, 46, 4181–4183.
- [6] A. Leyva-Pérez, D. Cómbita-Merchán, J. R. Cabrero-Antonino, S. I. Al-Resayes, A. Corma, *ACS Catal.*, **2013**, 3, 250–258.
- [7] M. Tamura, K. Tomishige, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2015**, 54, 864–867.
- [8] C. Binet, M. Daturi, J. C. Lavalley, *Catal. Today*, **1999**, 50, 207–225.
- [9] Z. Wu, A. K. P. Mann, M. Li, S. H. Overbury, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2015**, 119, 7340–7350.
- [10] A. K. P. Mann, Z. Wu, F. C. Calaza, S. H. Overbury, *ACS Catal.*, **2014**, 4, 2437–2448.
- [11] Y. Wang, F. Wang, Q. Song, Q. Xin, S. Xu, J. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, 135, 1506–1515.
- [12] S. Zhang, Z. Xia, Y. Zou, F. Cao, Y. Liu, Y. Ma, Y. Qu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2019**, 141, 11353–11357.
- [13] Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, J. Lu, J. Zhang, M. Li, X. Liu, F. Wang, *ACS Catal.*, **2018**, 8, 2635–2644.
- [14] H. Kaneko, T. Miura, H. Ishihara, S. Taku, T. Yokoyama, H. Nakajima, Y. Tamaura, *Energy*, **2007**, 32, 656–663.
- [15] A. Le Gal, S. Abanades, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2012**, 116, 13516–13523.
- [16] S. Mansingh, D. K. Padhi, K. M. Parida, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, **2016**, 41, 14133–14146.
- [17] W.-J. Shen, Y. Ichihashi, H. Ando, Y. Matsumura, M. Okumura, M. Haruta, *Appl. Catal. A*, **2001**, 217, 231–239.
- [18] A. Trovarelli, C. de Leitenburg, G. Dolcetti, J. L. Lorca, *J. Catal.*, **1995**, 151, 111–124.
- [19] A. Trovarelli, G. Dolcetti, C. de Leitenburg, J. Kaspar, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.*, **1993**, 75, 2781–2784.
- [20] F. Wang, S. He, H. Chen, B. Wang, L. Zheng, M. Wei, D. G. Evans, X. Duan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, 138, 6298–6305.
- [21] N. Kumari, M. A. Haider, M. Agarwal, N. Sinha, S. Basu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2016**, 120, 16626–16635.
- [22] K. Werner, X. Weng, F. Calaza, M. Sterrer, T. Kropp, J. Paier, J. Sauer, M. Wilde, K. Fukutani, S. Shaikhutdinov, H. J. Freund, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2017**, 139, 17608–17616.
- [23] T. Cao, R. You, X. Zhang, S. Chen, D. Li, Z. Zhang, W. Huang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2018**, 20, 9659–9670.
- [24] Y. Azizi, C. Petit, V. Pitchon, *J. Catal.*, **2008**, 256, 338–344.
- [25] J. Carrasco, G. Vilé, D. Fernández-Torre, R. Pérez, J. Pérez-Ramírez, M. V. Ganduglia-Pirovano, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2014**, 118, 5352–5360.
- [26] G. Vilé, B. Bridier, J. Wichert, J. Perez-Ramirez, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2012**, 51, 8620–3.
- [27] C. Riley, S. Zhou, D. Kunwar, A. De La Riva, E. Peterson, R. Payne, L. Gao, S. Lin, H. Guo, A. Datye, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2018**, 140, 12964–12973.
- [28] M. Chong, D.-g. Cheng, L. Liu, F. Chen, X. Zhan, *Catal. Lett.*, **2007**, 114, 198–201.
- [29] S. Zhang, Z. Q. Huang, Y. Ma, W. Gao, J. Li, F. Cao, L. Li, C. R. Chang, Y. Qu, *Nat. Commun.*, **2017**, 8, 15266.
- [30] Y. Ma, W. Gao, Z. Zhang, S. Zhang, Z. Tian, Y. Liu, J. C. Ho, Y. Qu, *Surf. Sci. Rep.*, **2018**, 73, 1–36.
- [31] G. Vilé, D. Albani, N. Almora-Barrios, N. López, J. Pérez-Ramírez, *ChemCatChem*, **2016**, 8, 21–33.
- [32] G. Vilé, S. Wrabetz, L. Floryan, M. E. Schuster, F. Girgsdies, D. Teschner, J. Pérez-Ramírez, *ChemCatChem*, **2014**, 6, 1928–1934.
- [33] Z.-Q. Huang, L.-P. Liu, S. Qi, S. Zhang, Y. Qu, C.-R. Chang, *ACS Catal.*, **2018**, 8, 546–554.
- [34] S. Zhou, L. Gao, F. Wei, S. Lin, H. Guo, *J. Catal.*, **2019**, 375, 410–418.
- [35] D. Fernandez-Torre, J. Carrasco, M. V. Ganduglia-Pirovano, R. Perez, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **2014**, 141, 014703.
- [36] M. García-Melchor, N. López, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2014**, 118, 10921–10926.
- [37] M. García-Melchor, L. Bellarosa, N. López, *ACS Catal.*, **2014**, 4, 4015–4020.
- [38] Z. Wu, Y. Cheng, F. Tao, L. Daemen, G. S. Foo, L. Nguyen, X. Zhang, A. Beste, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2017**, 139, 9721–9727.
- [39] M. Mogensen, N. M. Sammes, G. A. Tompsett, *Solid State Ionics*, **2000**, 129, 63–94.
- [40] L. Fan, C. Wang, M. Chen, B. Zhu, *J. Power Sources*, **2013**, 234, 154–174.
- [41] C. Sun, H. Li, L. Chen, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **2012**, 5, 8475–8505.
- [42] W. Huang, Y. Gao, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, **2014**, 4, 3772–3784.
- [43] D. Zhang, X. Du, L. Shi, R. Gao, *Dalton Trans.*, **2012**, 41, 14455–14475.
- [44] A. Trovarelli, *Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng.*, **1996**, 38, 439–520.
- [45] A. Trovarelli, J. Llorca, *ACS Catal.*, **2017**, 7, 4716–4735.
- [46] F. Polo-Garzon, Z. Bao, X. Zhang, W. Huang, Z. Wu, *ACS Catal.*, **2018**, 8, 2635–2644.

- 2019, 9, 5692–5707.
- [47] M. Daturi, E. Finocchio, C. Binet, J. C. Lavalley, F. Fally, V. Perrichon, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **1999**, 103, 4884–4891.
- [48] M. Daturi, C. Binet, J.-C. Lavalley, A. Galtayries, R. Sporken, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **1999**, 1, 5717–5724.
- [49] Z.-A. Qiao, Z. Wu, S. Dai, *ChemSusChem*, **2013**, 6, 1821–1833.
- [50] Y. Li, W. Shen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2014**, 43, 1543–1574.
- [51] S. Chen, F. Xiong, W. Huang, *Surf. Sci. Rep.*, **2019**, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfrep.2019.100471>.
- [52] K. Wu, L.-D. Sun, C.-H. Yan, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, **2016**, 6, 1600501.
- [53] R. Juárez, S. F. Parker, P. Concepción, A. Corma, H. García, *Chem. Sci.*, **2010**, 1, 731–738.
- [54] C. Schilling, A. Hofmann, C. Hess, M. V. Ganduglia-Pirovano, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2017**, 121, 20834–20849.
- [55] M. Wang, X.-P. Wu, S. Zheng, L. Zhao, L. Li, L. Shen, Y. Gao, N. Xue, X. Guo, W. Huang, Z. Gan, F. Blanc, Z. Yu, X. Ke, W. Ding, X.-Q. Gong, C. P. Grey, L. Peng, *Sci. Adv.*, **2015**, 1, e1400133.
- [56] H.-Z. Zhu, Y.-M. Lu, F.-J. Fan, S.-H. Yu, *Nanoscale*, **2013**, 5, 7219–7223.
- [57] S. M. F. Shahed, T. Hasegawa, Y. Sainoo, Y. Watanabe, N. Isomura, A. Beniya, H. Hirata, T. Komeda, *Surf. Sci.*, **2014**, 628, 30–35.
- [58] M. F. Bekheet, M. Grunbacher, L. Schlicker, A. Gili, A. Doran, J. D. Epping, A. Gurlo, B. Klotzer, S. Penner, *CrystEngComm*, **2019**, 21, 145–154.
- [59] M. Bugnet, S. H. Overbury, Z. L. Wu, T. Epicier, *Nano Lett.*, **2017**, 17, 7652–7658.
- [60] M. F. L. Johnson, J. Mooi, *J. Catal.*, **1987**, 103, 502–505.
- [61] V. Perrichon, A. Laachir, G. Bergeret, R. Fréty, L. Tournayan, O. Touret, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **1994**, 90, 773–781.
- [62] L. A. Bruce, M. Hoang, A. E. Hughes, T. W. Turney, *Appl. Catal. A*, **1996**, 134, 351–362.
- [63] F. Giordano, A. Trovarelli, C. de Leitenburg, M. Giona, *J. Catal.*, **2000**, 193, 273–282.
- [64] F. Giordano, A. Trovarelli, C. de Leitenburg, G. Dolcetti, M. Giona, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **2001**, 40, 4828–4835.
- [65] Tana, M. Zhang, J. Li, H. Li, Y. Li, W. Shen, *Catal. Today*, **2009**, 148, 179–183.
- [66] L. Liu, Y. Cao, W. Sun, Z. Yao, B. Liu, F. Gao, L. Dong, *Catal. Today*, **2011**, 175, 48–54.
- [67] T. Désaunay, G. Bonura, V. Chiodo, S. Freni, J. P. Couzinié, J. Bourgon, A. Ringuedé, F. Labat, C. Adamo, M. Cassir, *J. Catal.*, **2013**, 297, 193–201.
- [68] J. Xu, J. Harmer, G. Li, T. Chapman, P. Collier, S. Longworth, S. C. Tsang, *Chem. Commun.*, **2010**, 46, 1887–9.
- [69] S. Bernal, J. J. Calvino, G. A. Cifredo, J. M. Gatica, J. A. Perez Omil, J. M. Pintado, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **1993**, 89, 3499–3505.
- [70] Z. Li, K. Werner, K. Qian, R. You, A. Plucienik, A. Jia, L. Wu, L. Zhang, H. Pan, H. Kühlenbeck, S. Shaikhutdinov, W. Huang, H. J. Freund, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2019**, <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201907117>.
- [71] Y. Gao, R. Li, S. Chen, L. Luo, T. Cao, W. Huang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2015**, 17, 31862–71.
- [72] C. Lamonier, G. Wrobel, J. P. Bonnelle, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **1994**, 4, 1927–1928.
- [73] G. Wrobel, C. Lamonier, A. Bennani, A. D'Huysser, A. Aboukaïs, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **1996**, 92, 2001–2009.
- [74] J. L. G. Fierro, J. Soria, J. Sanz, J. M. Rojo, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **1987**, 66, 154–162.
- [75] J. Cunningham, S. O'Brien, J. Sanz, J. M. Rojo, J. A. Soria, J. L. G. Fierro, *J. Mol. Catal.*, **1990**, 57, 379–396.
- [76] T. Matsukawa, A. Hoshikawa, E. Niwa, M. Yashima, T. Ishigaki, *CrystEngComm*, **2018**, 20, 155–158.
- [77] P. A. Crozier, R. Wang, R. Sharma, *Ultramicroscopy*, **2008**, 108, 1432–40.
- [78] R. Wang, P. A. Crozier, R. Sharma, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2009**, 113, 5700–5704.
- [79] G. Möbus, Z. Saghi, D. C. Sayle, U. M. Bhatta, A. Stringfellow, T. X. T. Sayle, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, **2011**, 21, 1971–1976.
- [80] O. S. Bezkrivnyi, P. Kraszkiewicz, M. Ptak, L. Kepinski, *Catal. Commun.*, **2018**, 117, 94–98.
- [81] J. Lamotte, V. Morávek, M. Bensitel, J. C. Lavalley, *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.*, **1988**, 36, 113–118.
- [82] C. Binet, A. Badri, J.-C. Lavalley, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **1994**, 98, 6392–6398.
- [83] A. Badri, C. Binet, J.-C. Lavalley, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **1997**, 93, 1159–1168.
- [84] A. Badri, C. Binet, J.-C. Lavalley, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **1996**, 92, 4669–4673.
- [85] J. Vecchietti, M. A. Baltanás, C. Gervais, S. E. Collins, G. Blanco, O. Matz, M. Calatayud, A. Bonivardi, *J. Catal.*, **2017**, 345, 258–269.
- [86] S. M. Schimming, G. S. Foo, O. D. LaMont, A. K. Rogers, M. M. Yung, A. D. D'Amico, C. Sievers, *J. Catal.*, **2015**, 329, 335–347.
- [87] D. Martin, D. Duprez, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **1997**, 101, 4428–4436.
- [88] C. Binet, A. Jadi, J. Lamotte, J. C. Lavalley, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **1996**, 92, 123–129.
- [89] C. Li, Q. Xin, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **1992**, 96, 7714–7718.
- [90] G. N. Vayssilov, M. Mihaylov, P. S. Petkov, K. I. Hadjiivanov, K. M. Neyman, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2011**, 115, 23435–23454.
- [91] Z. Wu, M. Li, J. Howe, H. M. Meyer, S. H. Overbury, *Langmuir*, **2010**, 26, 16595–606.
- [92] Z. Wu, M. Li, D. R. Mullins, S. H. Overbury, *ACS Catal.*, **2012**, 2, 2224–2234.
- [93] T. Duchoň, F. Dvořák, M. Aulická, V. Stetsovych, M. Vorokhta, D. Mazur, K. Veltruská, T. Skála, J. Mysliveček, I. Matolínová, V. Matolín, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2013**, 118, 357–365.
- [94] T. Duchoň, F. Dvořák, M. Aulická, V. Stetsovych, M. Vorokhta, D. Mazur, K. Veltruská, T. Skála, J. Mysliveček, I. Matolínová, V. Matolín, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2014**, 118, 5058–5059.
- [95] C. Barth, C. Laffon, R. Olbrich, A. Ranguis, P. Parent, M. Reichling, *Sci. Rep.*, **2016**, 6, 21165.
- [96] B. Chen, Y. Ma, L. Ding, L. Xu, Z. Wu, Q. Yuan, W. Huang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2013**, 117, 5800–5810.
- [97] G. Vilé, S. Colussi, F. Krumeich, A. Trovarelli, J. Perez-Ramirez, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2014**, 53, 12069–72.
- [98] M. Wilde, K. Fukutani, W. Ludwig, B. Brandt, J. H. Fischer, S. Schauermaier, H. J. Freund, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2008**, 47, 9289–93.
- [99] K. V. Kovtunov, D. A. Barskiy, O. G. Salnikov, A. K. Khudorozhkov, V. I. Bukhtiyarov, I. P. Prosvirin, I. V. Koptug, *Chem. Commun.*, **2014**, 50, 875–8.
- [100] E. W. Zhao, H. Zheng, R. Zhou, H. E. Hagelin-Weaver, C. R. Bowers, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2015**, 54, 14270–5.
- [101] E. W. Zhao, Y. Xin, H. E. Hagelin-Weaver, C. R. Bowers, *ChemCatChem*, **2016**, 8, 2197–2201.
- [102] G. Vilé, P. Dähler, J. Vecchietti, M. Baltanás, S. Collins, M. Calatayud, A. Bonivardi, J. Pérez-Ramírez, *J. Catal.*, **2015**, 324, 69–78.
- [103] R. Mas-Ballesté, A. Lledós, In: *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II (Second Edition)*, J. Reedijk, K. Poeppelmeier, Eds. Elsevier: Amsterdam, **2013**, 727–766.
- [104] E. N. Gribov, S. Bertarione, D. Scarano, C. Lamberti, G. Spoto, A. Zecchina, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **2004**, 108, 16174–16186.
- [105] J. Joubert, A. Salameh, V. Krakoviack, F. Delbecq, P. Sautet, C.

- Copéret, J. M. Basset, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **2006**, 110, 23944–23950.
- [106] M. Menetrey, A. Markovits, C. Minot, *Surf. Sci.*, **2003**, 524, 49–62.
- [107] X.-P. Wu, X.-Q. Gong, G. Lu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2015**, 17, 3544–3549.
- [108] M. B. Watkins, A. S. Foster, A. L. Shluger, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2007**, 111, 15337–15341.
- [109] W. Zhang, X.-L. Ma, H. Xiao, M. Lei, J. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2019**, 123, 11763–11771.
- [110] M. Nolan, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **2011**, 21, 9160–9168.
- [111] A. B. Kehoe, D. O. Scanlon, G. W. Watson, *Chem. Mater.*, **2011**, 23, 4464–4468.

二氧化铈与氢气之间相互作用及其在炔烃选择加氢中的应用

James Kammert[†], Jisue Moon[†], Zili Wu^{*}

橡树岭国家实验室化学科学部和纳米材料科学中心, 橡树岭, 田纳西州37831, 美国

摘要: 二氧化铈因其具有较强的氧化还原性能和多变的酸碱功能而在催化领域有着广泛的应用, 其可用作催化剂、改性剂或载体。虽然二氧化铈常被用于氧化反应, 但由于其独特的选择性地将炔烃加氢成烯烃的能力, 近年来引起了广泛的研究兴趣。氧化铈出色的加氢能力引发了新的研究热潮, 以了解纯二氧化铈用作加氢催化剂的原理。本文综述了近年来氧化铈的实验和计算研究进展, 重点介绍了氧化铈与氢气的相互作用和加氢反应。讨论了各种研究的重要观点, 包括原位光谱/显微镜和铈在涉氢反应中的理论模型, 从而阐明了氧化铈催化炔烃加氢反应能力的本质和反应机理。最后在总结和展望部分提出了进一步提高对铈基材料加氢反应机理认识和催化性能的途径。

关键词: 二氧化铈; 氧化还原; 氢气; 加氢; 氢化物; 机理

收稿日期: 2019-09-29. 接受日期: 2019-10-21. 出版日期: 2020-06-05.

*通讯联系人. 电子信箱: wuzl@ornl.gov

[†]共同第一作者.

本文的电子版全文由Elsevier出版社在ScienceDirect上出版(<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/18722067>).